

TUESDAY MORNING SESSION  
June 11, 1974

The Post-Convention Meeting of the Board of Directors of the National Athletic Trainers Association was convened at nine-fifteen o'clock a.m., Mr. Frank George, President, presiding.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Gentlemen, I would like to call this meeting to order.

As has been our custom, I would like to open this meeting with a brief prayer.

Dear Lord, please help us to make the decisions that are right and best for NATA. Thank you for bringing us together here this morning. Amen.

Now, we are all set for Bill Chambers. Why don't you get started, Bill, because I know you want to get out of it.

However, before you get started, I would like to say you have done a wonderful job so far. We are already way ahead of the game on this one item.

MR. CHAMBERS: Well, let's not count our chickens before they are hatched.

First of all, do any of you have any question about the material on the blue sheets in the packet that was presented to you the other day? Perhaps you have not all had time to look at it in detail. However, I thought I would put it down in a fashion that it would be easy for you to study.

Now, on the other hand, if you do have questions with regard to any of this material, I will be available here until tomorrow.

MR. LANE: One quick question. In relation to the room rates quoted there, that always comes up at this time of the year, I believe you told us last year

2 that they were firm quotations?

MR. CHAMBERS: Yes, they are still. They are still firm quotations. I have a letter here which I signed and returned and they are locked in. If they want to try to back out on it, they can't do it. They are committed to us on our coming out there and this sort of thing.

With regard to the program sheets we had in those packets that we passed out, we got a fair return on them but we passed them out to your districts yesterday and so we are going to try to use that.

Our Program Committee is going to have a meeting this afternoon because all four of us are going to be here and we are going to sit down and hash over things and take the program survey sheets we have back and see if maybe we can pick up a trend or something like this that the people want insofar as the program is concerned.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Before you go any further, Bill, in connection with the rates, are there family rates?

MR. CHAMBERS: Here again, I am not sure. I will get this information. I have had a lot of people ask me about it.

Some people have told me, for example, that they would like to bring their families. I think most of the double rooms have two double beds and so this will easily accommodate a man and wife and two kids. They can sleep in the double bed. This is double the rate, which is \$29 or \$33 for the room.

Now, with regard to this matter, there is one thing that I know has been a big problem here and I told them I did not want this range thing about saying, for example, you have a range in a single room from \$26 to \$33. I wanted them to give us regular rates on these single and other types of rooms and this will eliminate, in turn, all the confusion we had here. Therefore, all



3 the rooms there will be equally good and all of the deluxe rooms will be at standard rates. It doesn't show in your information here but they have what they call garden rooms out here, which are more like motel rooms, where you can drive up in your car and there is not that far a walk getting from the car to the room and transporting the luggage and this sort of thing.

I am sure that most everybody will be coming in by bus from Los Angeles -- they will come through the travel center right by the Disneyland Hotel, where they will be met by a bellman with an electrically driven cart. He, in turn, will drive them around here to Marina Towers and that is where the registration desk will be.

They have assured me that they will have plenty of people on duty on Friday, Saturday and Sunday, when I presume the bulk of our people will be coming in and to accommodate these people so they will not have to wait so long.

Further, our day at Disneyland will be on Sunday. This is also information we are going to have to send out.

The park is going to be closed on Monday and Tuesday because we are in there before the tourist season, which is good, because we will not have as many people. Further, they are going to give us a special ticket with our name on there. This will be issued at one price and it will include admission and you will have limited use of the attractions.

What they are going to do is that the Disneyland people are going to print up something like this (indicating) which will have our name on there -- a ticket price or something like this. They will do the art work and the printing and they will print however many we desire and then, in turn, I can send them to the NATA Office and that will come out in connection with one of our national mailings and we will hopefully be able to try to get people to purchase these Disneyland tickets through the pre-registration. However, we will have them

4  
at the desk. Further, they will print up more than we need but, on the other hand, we will only be charged for what we actually use. They do all the accounting on that and this sort of thing. Therefore, they will work with us.

I have one new idea and I don't know whether or not I need your approval on it but I would like to present it to you at this time and you, in turn, are going to have to use your imagination at this particular point.

At any rate, the art department at Disneyland could not or did not want to do the art work until I got approval on this (indicating) but then I will just pass it out. It doesn't mean anything right now but I will pass a couple of these out and you, in turn, kind of share them and use your imagination and then I will explain what this is.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Before you go further and talking about Sunday, will that affect Cramer's?

MR. CHAMBERS: No. Our date at Disneyland will be there. They are already locked in for entertainment on Sunday night. Their group will have entertainment on the hotel grounds, which will not involve busing or anything like that.

Now, as to how far they want to go in relation to entertainment, I don't know about that. However, they have indicated to me they were going to have a luau on Sunday night right at the hotel. People going to the park, the park closes at a certain hour and so they will have a half hour from the time the park is closed before that Sunday evening entertainment is started and so there will be enough time for them to get ready for that. As a matter of fact Sunday will be a full day for everyone.

With regard to this little item that I passed out to you here, what we would like to do on that, for example, is that Disneyland will print this up for us and these characters over here will be in color and then it



5 says up here "especially for" and then, in turn, we want to write the kid's name in there.

What we want to do is to send out a letter enclosing this in early November and it would have to maybe come out from the national office. This is something I believe I need your approval on.

This letter will say something like this -- "Do you want to help Santa Claus and score points with your kids," and then this, in turn, will explain about the day at Disneyland. There then is also here a sheet they will tear off and return to us, especially if they want us to mail one of these little goodies to their children.

What this will be is something being worked on a psychological basis, a little extra something for the kids -- something to put in their Christmas stocking. It will indicate that they have a day at Disneyland coming and then on the side there we are going to use the wording "Mickey and all of his friends are looking forward to having you at Disneyland when your Dad attends our Convention. Your day is June 8, 1975."

Therefore, this is also a good idea to get Dad off the hook of thinking of something for the kids. He can give this to the kids -- stick it in their stocking, wrap it up in a box or whatever. It will also jog the memories of people to the fact that they have to start thinking about our National Meeting.

Also, maybe if the guy is thinking of something to give his wife for Christmas, he can, on this basis, give her a trip to California.

This will also maybe give us an indication as to how many people we are going to have.

Also, what this does is that if a Dad gives this to his son and daughter, he is dead -- he has to come to Anaheim. (Laughter) There is no way in God's green earth that he is going to be able to get out of it.

6  
Disneyland will print that up. This will be in a cute book also. I met with them on Friday and this will be in color and they will put this over here (indicating) and then their art department will take care of it. They will also print these at no charge to us. It will not cost us a cent and so the only thing I believe would be a cost to us would be the mailing, which I don't know whether or not we need Board approval on.

However, if we do, I would like to request it.

I thought this was a good idea in relation to Disneyland because they indicated it had never been done before. However, this would entitle the child to go to Disneyland and his Dad will still have to purchase a ticket, which will likewise be explained in the letter. However, it would also give us an opportunity to find out how many are coming and, further, I am sure it will have a means of committing some people to come to the Convention who might not otherwise come.

Another thing, as I mentioned the other day, a copy of which is also in the kit I gave you, is that we are going to try to publish a daily convention bulletin. There is a very rough outline of it that you have had presented to you, a xeroxed copy of it.

What we want to do, for example, is that the hotel has a mimeograph machine they said we could use and there would be no problem there. We will have an individual out there who will be the editor of this and I met with the Public Relations Committee yesterday and they said they would help in any way they can.

What we want to do is have a bulletin, on a different color paper for each day, so that people will be able to distinguish one day from the other. We will have these at certain spots right outside the meeting rooms, exhibit areas or somewhere like this.

What it will include essentially are the previous day's highlights, entertainment for the day, time and location of meetings, because I think this has been



one of our biggest problems.

It is going to take a lot of work insofar as communication and this sort of thing is concerned. Further, this will have to be done at night but this individual says he would do it.

The only cost to the NATA would really be the paper and we can get that all preprinted at one of these Jiffy Print Shops or whatever they call them. I am also going to get more art work so that it looks a little bit professional. Then, after that has all been set up, the only thing we will have to do is to shoot it through the mimeograph machine and have it available for the people the first thing in the morning. Therefore, we have that in the hopper.

Also, there is a brochure with regard to the closed circuit television in your packet. You will notice that we have fifteen minutes of their time on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday at no charge to us. We can do anything we desire with it.

Here again I met with the Public Relations Committee and Dick is going to handle this thing.

What it involves is that they have a studio here at the Convention Center and this is beamed to all the rooms in the hotel and what we want to do in connection with our program is to put down, if you want to know what is going on for the day, to have them turn to such and such a channel and such and such a time in their room and then, in turn, we can have somebody there saying that the entertainment for the day is such and such. There are any number of things we can do here.

At the present time, there are some bugs involved with it but we believe it will be worked out by that time.

Therefore, if it is working properly, we will have this as another source of use for our convention. On the other hand, if it is not working, then, we can do nothing about it. At any rate, as I indicated, they

8  
are going to give us fifteen minutes of their time on those three mornings at no charge to us. The only thing we have to do is to put something on here and here again, this is a good means of communication so that people will know what is going on.

As you know, those of you who previously may have been there, it is a big place and we certainly do not want to lose anybody and, also, we want to see everybody apprised in any way that we can, as to what is going on with our convention.

Also, the Anaheim Convention Bureau, in my meeting with them, have indicated they will have a staff of journalists available who will be writing articles and sending pictures to our Journal. They said they would do this for us.

Also, the hotel has been very cooperative and a representative from the hotel was going to be here today. However, in talking to him on Saturday night, I found out that he had a son who was very ill and, therefore, could not make it.

Further, with regard to the exhibit space, we have plenty of that. We have a floor plan for some 115 ten by ten booths and I figured out where we can get more space if we have to.

There will likewise be plenty of room for the banquet. They have added an entertainment package, which I don't know what it is. I got that first on Friday when I was in there. I will find out more about that.

Of course, with regard to entertainment, they have almost any kind of entertainment we may desire. At any rate, we still have to work on that.

Likewise, we presently have some five to seven hundred rooms blocked out -- five hundred rooms blocked for Saturday night and seven hundred rooms for Sunday, Monday and Tuesday. Do you think we ought to



increase that, Fred?

MR. HOOVER: We are going to wait until after this Convention is over and get a final check on that.

MR. CHAMBERS: The American Physical Therapy Association comes there right after us. We leave on Wednesday and they come in on Sunday. Therefore, I think we can pick up some exhibitors that possibly might not come out.

The George Fern Company has been alerted to this fact and they are trying to work out a deal with the exhibitors where they only have to pay one move in and one move out and get to cover two trade shows. They are going to push this very hard.

What we are planning to do is that I am going to see if we cannot set up, for the people that might be staying over, possibly a post-convention tour down to San Diego to see the offerings down there and then possibly come back for the second meeting. However, we are presently trying to work out some sort of deal with them, with the George Fern Company in that respect.

I think that this might also help us because maybe some of the eastern exhibitors who might not come out because of the distance will come out knowing they can hit two conventions for the price of one.

Another thing that I have talked to Fred about and which I think your approval will be required on is that the Bausch-Lomb people have talked to me and they want to donate a speaker, an ophthalmologist, to talk on the eyes and this sort of thing. This will involve no commercialism whatsoever. They don't want their name on the program, don't desire anything. They said, however, they would be happy to let us have a speaker and we would not have to do anything. They will pick up the honorarium and all expenses and the only thing we have to do is to put him on the program.

They suggested we might put him on the program

10 through the courtesy of, and I told them we could not do that. They then said there would be no problem, that if we would like to have somebody speak on the eye, they would be happy to provide somebody at no cost to us.

I indicated to them that I would first want to find out how the Board felt on that because I thought I needed your approval and, therefore, I think I would like some kind of an answer here in relation to that before we are through so that we can handle that one way or another.

Now, do any of you have questions at the present time?

I know that I have sort of gone through this very quickly but, as I said, we are going to have plenty of space, we have a good floor plan insofar as exhibits are concerned and I think everybody is going to be happy.

Further, all of our activities are going to be under one roof. Also, with regard to our banquet, we can sell up to 1100 tickets and if Fred actually sells that many, I think we will have to give him a gold star.

I believe that completes my brief presentation here unless, of course, you have questions.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Perhaps, gentlemen, we should have some discussion with regard to the furnishing of an ophthalmologist to speak on the eyes.

MR. DAVIS: I am sure there will be no problem. We are doing that now in connection with Schering. You have also done it in the past with others.

MR. LANE: The only thing is to have him scheduled so that we can all have an opportunity of listening to him.

MR. GEORGE: We had one at our University and his talk went on and on but the amazing thing was that after his talk, we now have included an eye examination



11 in relation to our physical examination. This is how impressive he was and how impressive physicians were in relation to eye examinations, etc.

MR. CHAMBERS: I might remark, in this instance, that I told them that I did not want some emergency room ophthalmologist who would show emergency room pictures -- that we wanted somebody who had contact with the eye and could readily explain it. They indicated to us they would do anything we desired.

MR. SHERIDAN: I would make a motion we go along with this request.

...The motion was severally seconded...

MR. FLENTJE: I think we ought to bring this out in discussion -- the whole ramification of this a little more because it is getting tough to get people for nothing.

MR. DAVIS: That is what he is giving us.

MR. FLENTJE: I think this is one of the items on the agenda we should have more of a discussion on.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are you speaking in favor of allowing them to pay for this ophthalmologist or are you speaking as not in favor of allowing them to pay?

MR. FLENTJE: I am in favor but I think it is also a part of further business we have to discuss.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is a motion on the floor. Shall we have a vote?

All in favor raise your hands; opposed? The motion is carried.

MR. CHAMBERS: Does anybody have any other questions?

MR. DAVIS: It certainly sounds great.

12

MR. CHAMBERS: Also, before, I was requesting perhaps the cost of the postage in relation to the Christmas mailing.

MR. HOOVER: We have mailings set up, two mailings already set up and that can be handled.

MR. DAVIS: There is no problem there.

MR. CHAMBERS: We are also going to send out a bus schedule to everyone. If a guy comes in there at five o'clock, God help him, because he is going to be on the freeway at least an hour and a-half to two hours. Therefore, we are trying to get everybody to get there during non-rush hours. Therefore, a bus schedule will be sent out.

MR. SHERIDAN: From what airport?

MR. CHAMBERS: Los Angeles International. The buses leave there every half hour.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are there further questions of Bill?

If not, we will now hear from Fred Hoover in relation to the Convention Committee.

MR. HOOVER: First I want to discuss some of the problems we have been having here before we go into site selection.

First of all, in relation to the registration this year, there have been people bringing me these little confirmation slips that were mailed to all of us when we made our reservations. Now, with these hotels, and this could be ignorance on our part or it can again also be considered under the growth we are experiencing, the number of rooms we are getting into and the like, but previous to this we may not have had problems like this because we were not taking a large block of rooms. However, people would bring these registration slips in and they would indicate on there a single room for \$32, let us say and it would then contain either the word



13 "confirmed" or "requested". Now, they did not tell us about this and maybe they did not have to but we are going to do something about this. However, I want to explain this to you.

Now, in regard to those registrations that had the word "confirmed" on them, in connection with everyone they have taken back, they have lowered the rate to what was quoted on there regardless of whether they had the Presidential Suite or any other type of suite.

Now, with the word "requested" that presents a little different problem and that means, for example, that if in this hotel, if we had 500 rooms reserved, 300 of those rooms would have been set aside as "standard" rooms, say from \$28 to \$30, for two people. Then they have another percentage, and this is a set percentage in relation to all conventions on total rooms that you pay, with regard to medium-sized rooms. Then they have another percentage of deluxe rooms and then so many suites.

Now, if you had the word "requested" on there, and the figures of \$28-\$30, if that particular block of rooms were gone, then they would put you in the next category above that.

This has created some difficulty because people, on this basis, have found themselves paying a higher room rate than they originally intended. Now, in the future, we will have to work this out with the hotels as we contract with them.

Now, this information was not on their sheets and what we want to do and what we would like to have the membership do is to confirm to them the room they will get because, as it now happens, some people are getting their money in advance to come to our meetings. For example, they go to the athletic director with that little slip of paper that says \$30 and then they say "this is what my room will cost" but then, when they get out there, they find the rate has jumped to \$40 and so they are out money to start with because the school has only given them \$30.

14 MR. CHAMBERS: Let me say, in connection with our Anaheim Convention, we have 300 standard rooms and 400 deluxe rooms blocked out right now. Perhaps they will let us increase this further for next year.

MR. HOOVER: Of course, that is very good. Now, I checked back with this hotel and they guarantee the rates one year in advance. At any rate, we are going to do something about this in relation to all future conventions that we hold at various hotels. Most of them have X number of rooms that they rent out as "standard" rooms.

With regard to this hotel, everyone of these slips I have carried back, they have taken action on it and they are going to adjust a couple of them in addition because they indicate they made a mistake down at the desk. As I say, we have straightened a lot of them out already.

MR. CROWL: Along this line, two of our members in our meeting yesterday said they were sent over to another hotel -- they were told at the desk that they were being put over to another hotel.

MR. HOOVER: Here is something else. A group from California did this and maybe you will want to go back and tell your Districts that there is no problem.

One gentleman came to me and he had called for fifteen rooms. He did not send in the white confirmation card that we had with the NATA on it. He picked up a telephone and called his brother, who is a travel agent. His brother got the room through a travel agency and he did not go through us. He also put in no names in connection with this block of rooms.

Well, that doesn't mean anything to the hotel. Hotel people don't worry about the blocks. They get individual cards because in this man's case, there was even no record to check against because the travel agency is in California and, therefore, he had no grounds to stand on when he went down and talked to them. He



15 merely indicated to them that the travel agency had called and that was all. How do they, for example, know he is with the NATA?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Lew, what did you say was told your people when they were at the desk?

MR. CROWL: They were sent over to another hotel. They were told at the desk that they were registered here but they had to send them over to another hotel. At any rate, that is what they told them at the desk.

MR. HOOVER: Well, at any rate, we will look into that.

Now, are there any questions on registration in relation to this hotel?

Now, with regard to future registration, we are going to continue our pre-registration. We had a total of 468 this year, which was an increase of 160, I think, over last year. It went as smoothly as we could do it except we are going to trim the registration by putting the pre-registration in a separate area with three separate lines. We are going to remain open after six on Saturday night. We are not going to close for people that are coming in to register at the hotel and who have to get up next morning and take these courses. We are going to try to stay open a little later because of that.

We are going to separate the banquet from the registration -- have a place to purchase tickets and take care of tickets -- but essentially get them away from the registration area. This will also tend to streamline our registration facility.

Now, we had a problem in this hotel that we have not had before and that is in relation to cocktail parties and hospitality rooms. They got into some problems here due to state law and one of them is the fact that a student under age going into these rooms

cannot be served.

Now, our students, some of them, are high school trainers but here the state law is that they cannot serve them alcoholic beverages.

Now, they had some of the hotel people checking on some of these parties and I am told that some of the people became quite offended when they were asked for an I.D. card. This was done, of course, on the basis that the license from this hotel could be removed if those people had been caught drinking hard liquor at one of these parties. They have a state law.

Also, they have a law here that you cannot walk through the lobby with a drink and things of this nature. Now, we are going to spell all of that out in connection with future convention activities. These are things that came up which I did not initially dream would be a problem but they are. On that basis, I don't know what the law is in California.

MR. CHAMBERS: I don't think it makes any difference. You can walk around with a drink.

MR. HOOVER: For example, I wonder what kind of liability we would have if one of the students drinking got into problems with a sixteen-year-old woman or something. On that basis, in connection with these students, should we make a ruling to the effect that they cannot attend these parties?

I don't know what we would do in that situation and I also don't know what would happen to us if one of these students got into some kind of problem.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't think they could hold us.

MR. HOOVER: Some of the exhibitors were passing out tickets for these things in order to prevent these students from coming to their social functions. However, this will be something we will have to worry about.



17 PRESIDENT GEORGE: That might be a solution to the problem right there -- for example, admission by ticket only.

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps in the letter to the membership there might be one sentence in the paragraph that can state local laws, local beverage laws and the like.

MR. HOOVER: So that would cover them.

MR. DAVIS: In other words, a statement could be made in the letter to the effect that local beverage laws prevail in relation to our Convention.

MR. HOOVER: All right. Let us now go on to the banquet.

Last night at the banquet we had a minor problem with the table arrangements. Has anybody heard any comments on the way it was set up?

Well, we had a little hassle with some of the companies that sent people in and who would hold tables for their groups and they did not have "reserved" signs on them. We did not feel that there was anything that we could do about this but maybe we ought to even not have any "reserved" tables and just one for "honorees" and that is it.

MR. FLENTJE: There was some animosity between a couple of companies, well, Cramer's and Protective Products, I might as well tell it like it is. For example, the Protective Products people brought their awardees in and then remained in there and also brought the rest of their people in and sat at the table. On the other hand, Cramer's was helping us with the banquet and this, in turn, was not exactly appreciated by either side, I don't believe.

MR. HOOVER: It certainly wasn't appreciated by us.

MR. FLENTJE: Also, Johnson and Johnson came in and reserved themselves a table.

18 MR. DAVIS: I think, in the future, if you can have a table arranged to seat ten individuals, that then we might just sell a whole table.

MR. HOOVER: I was going to bring that up next-- that we might sell a whole table to these people.

MR. DAVIS: In other words, reserve a table for them if they pay for it.

MR. HOOVER: In other words, if you come in and you desire tables 1, 2 and 3 for your particular District, then you purchase a block of tickets for yourself and then, in turn, you go back and sell them to your District. Then your whole District will be at those particular tables.

On the other hand, if Johnson and Johnson wants a table, they will have to pay a premium and have their people also buy a block of tickets. However, we are not going to get into selling you a ticket at Table 9 and then you come over and you want Table 43 because we would have to have an IBM machine in order to do that kind of thing.

MR. LEE: I think it would be a good idea to charge them an extra fee for a table. I know that this is done insofar as our Booster Club is concerned and is an excellent way of raising extra money.

MR. HOOVER: It will certainly help to offset the complimentary tickets.

We cut our banquet very close last night. As a matter of fact, our profit was only twelve cents per ticket.

I will be honest with you, I think in relation to the price of our banquet, we have to go to a fifteen-dollar ticket. Also, with inflation and when an individual starts paying anywhere from fifteen to eighteen dollars for a ticket, I am sure we are going to drop out of the banquet business because people are going to look



at that and say that they do not want to pay that kind of money.

Now, in connection with last night's banquet, I think you will agree they served a very good meal and, as a matter of fact, those in attendance did get their money's worth insofar as the meal was concerned.

I might say here, also, that the hotel made a mistake in this connection. What happened, for example, was that when they came out and made the reservation, they quoted us \$9.75 and then, after we had already printed up our tickets and sold them, they came up and said that the price would have to be \$12.00. They then indicated to us that the ticket would cost us \$12.80 in order to feed all these people and, as a result, we began losing eighty cents the minute a person sat down. Well, after discussing the matter with the management, after they had sat back and looked at it, they said to us "you are right, we have already ordered a steak and so it will cost us more to try to send it back and, therefore, we are going to let you have that steak." As a result, we got a steak dinner last night when, in reality, we should have gotten something cheaper.

Anyway in connection with this table assignment and block purchase matter, we will look into this idea.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: With regard to the selling of those tables to the various companies, Fred, maybe it might save you a lot of trouble if you will try to do that selling of those tables to those companies by mail.

MR. HOOVER: This is something else I have on my list. We did not get the registration from the Fern Company that we could preregister exhibitors and get them a banquet ticket. We want to mail them this and let them handle that as well as send them a list and let them buy tickets. For example, if Johnson and Johnson wants the first table and they want to send in the money right away and take twenty seats, fine, we will do it. On the other hand, we may get into trouble with the membership in doing this -- letting the companies buy them all up

on a first choice basis.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You could perhaps allow the membership to purchase them first.

MR. HOOVER: That is what I mean. Should we give the membership a week's delay and then mail them to the company?

MR. FLENTJE: I think we should let the membership have what they want. If there are any left over, then the others can have them.

Are we going to have a banquet for exhibitors or is the banquet for us?

MR. HOOVER: The exhibitors purchased fifty tickets last night and without those fifty it would not have cost us any more anyway.

MR. SMITH: Are you going to sell those in blocks in relation to table seating capacity?

MR. HOOVER: That is about right. It would have to be a block per table.

Of course, we could also run the banquet through the Districts. On the other hand, you might control the location of the tables and keep the prime tables for the members.

MR. DAVIS: If you sell the tables directly through the District; if the District purchases one full table by an allocated date, then that is reserved for them. Then the next group buying a full table will be your Exhibitors on, say, the outlying areas.

MR. FLENTJE: We have the option to put them where we want them.

MR. DAVIS: That is right.

MR. HOOVER: This will eliminate what has happened last night and which was embarrassing to us standing



21 there, especially in relation to the "no reserved" sign and, of course, Bill and I were not going to be the referees.

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps you can say to them that March 15 will be the cut-off date for the District to buy X number of tables. If, for example, District 6 desires two tables, they send in the necessary money by that time.

After this particular cut-off date, it would then be open to Exhibitors and then the rest of them will also be open.

MR. HOOVER: Of course, that is easy enough to work. Last night, for example, we had some people who wanted to order wine and they said they would pay for it. I told them not to do this because the minute you brought in one bottle, regardless of who paid for it, every table in the place was going to order and they might want to bill us extra and, therefore, we cut it out.

MR. SHERIDAN: With regard to the "reserved" table situation, let me say that I have been to several award banquets (and this may be something to think about) where they had a sub-head table. In other words, they had a head table and below that one level they had another table just for honorary award recipients.

MR. HOOVER: We had that in mind here at first but we got jammed in with numbers, I believe. However, that was our first discussion.

MR. SHERIDAN: This will eliminate a lot of confusion with regard to your honorary people.

MR. HOOVER: Are there any questions with regard to the convention, complaints or suggestions on this one before we get into the other business?

If not, let me also say that with regard to our Exhibitors here this time, we had some problem with different opening times. Of course, there was a mailing

22 to the Exhibitors by the Fern Company indicating that they should open at nine o'clock. However, there was also a complaint that some of them, when they had come down to their exhibits in the morning, found some of the things had been removed. These exhibits, of course, are unattended during the evening hours.

Now, in order to have some uniformity, tomorrow morning they are going to try to get everybody to open up at eight-thirty. It was indicated that this is something we should plan for in the future because then people can come in early in the morning, especially if they have to attend a first session at nine o'clock, and browse around.

MR. LANE: Going down there this morning, for example, you could have walked all around down there and found them wide open and unattended.

MR. HOOVER: Of course, we have supposedly had the area completely secured, at least a portion of it and the embarrassment was that they lost one jersey this morning and, surprisingly so, this was in relation to the completely secured area. With the open exhibits, we have not lost anything.

MR. CROWL: Getting back to the banquet again, our District wanted to know if the dinner for the charter members from there was a complimentary factor here?

MR. HOOVER: No.

MR. SHERIDAN: Many years ago we used to provide the honorary members with a free ticket.

MR. HOOVER: Well, if you will hold that up just a little bit, we can discuss it later. I have a number of things I want to have approval on from the Board.

Now, again, are there any questions with regard to this particular convention alone before I get into the problems that we have to settle here this morning?



23

MR. SMITH: This does not go to you but to the original first-time honored member on that list. In connection with all the listings ever put out, Mickey O'Brien's name is not on any of these and he was here in 1935.

MR. HOOVER: They took those from the rolls.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Get your District to let Miss Franklin know about it.

MR. HOOVER: That is right. Let's do that -- you write a letter to her.

Now, is there anything else under current problems before I come to what I want to ask the Board to do?

Well, first of all, we have been operating in Convention and I say "we" because each time we come up with new problems I have no authority or guidelines to follow.

For example, we will come up with the situation as to who should get complimentary banquet tickets and who do you not charge in connection with registration, likewise, whose expenses do we pay at the national meetings, what rooms do we charge for, what speakers do we pay, when do we pick up expenses, do we give them a room, do we pick up meals, airplane fares, do we pick up nothing?

Now, you have already solved one of my problems in connection with the little gift we gave them and you gave me enough money last time to operate on this basis. However, somewhere along the line I am going to have to have some form of guideline that will answer all these questions.

MR. MELIN: As I remember it, in connection with the guidelines from the 1972 convention, they were that we paid expenses for program speakers who were not members of NATA. Members of NATA were not given

24  
honorariums or expenses but speakers who were from other sources, they were given expenses but not honorariums, except in a very few cases.

MR. HOOVER: Is that presently in written form?

MR. MELIN: No.

MR. HOOVER: Well, that is what I mean. Everybody said we did this, for example, at a meeting three or ten years ago but, to my way of thinking, we have to have something in writing on this that is concrete all the way. For example, at each convention somebody will come in and say they have to have forty-two complimentary banquet tickets, thirty-seven complimentary registrations and all sort of things like that. In other words, what I am saying here, is that all of this ought to be alike.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Fred, would you be willing to sit down and write a procedural manual on this?

MR. HOOVER: Yes, I will be willing because we have to do something. I am not going to stand there and say "Duke, you got your two banquet tickets free" but I purchased mine. This is no way to do business.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Why don't you prepare something and then have it ready for the January meeting, about a month ahead of that so that we can read it over before we get there and then we can have suggestions regarding it.

MR. HOOVER: I would like some quick suggestions here.

For example, my personal belief is that there is not a person in this room who should be paying a registration fee. After all, you are a working group.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How does the Board feel about that?

MR. HOOVER: That is essentially what I want



to know. It has to be one way or the other.

25 PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would say the Board does not have to pay.

MR. HOOVER: What about the committee chairmen?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Would it hurt financially if we also gave the committee chairmen their registration and had a package like this (indicating) made up for them?

MR. McLEAN: May I comment?

Are we talking about a lot of money? Are we talking about possibly ten committees? Would it be hurting us financially by doing that?

MR. HOOVER: I don't think so but, on the other hand, you should decide whether or not this is the type of thing you would desire to put in writing.

MR. DAVIS: In other words, give us a directive to come up with this and we will put something together and try to get it out to you. We will get it out before the January meeting and then we can tear it apart.

MR. HOOVER: We need more help. Lindsay needs more help. If I come in and also the total committee comes in on Thursday, then I also believe that the committee's total expenses ought to be paid at this meeting for the work they do during the whole year and this is because they have been on many a trip and many a committee doing work, trying to get things going and accomplish the work. There are a lot of expense items that are never turned in. Therefore, this could involve a little reward right here for them. However, you might put a limit on it.

For example, Bud does not have to go to the top of the Crown every night.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand it, other than a room for the committee, you would like us to pick

up their meals also?

MR. HOOVER: Yes, something like that.

Well, at any rate, your suggestion, I will write this up after talking to a few more people about it and then bring it to you with copies and let you cut it apart. Maybe I can have it mailed to you in advance of your January Meeting and you can study it before that time.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would like to have you do that.

PRESIDENT HOOVER: Then, at that time you can tear it apart, adjust it or do whatever you like.

After all, we are now getting so large that we cannot keep on asking everyone to come in and do their work for nothing. Many of these people are sacrificing all of this time to work for the organization, even though they are only on a salary basis.

MR. SHERIDAN: I feel that a policy along this line would save a lot of future problems.

For example, I know, from working at the registration desk, that you have fifteen people ready to register and pay and then, at that point, some guy comes to you on the side and says he is supposed to get a free registration. However, nobody knows who he is.

MR. HOOVER: We have cut the free registrations out unless, of course, they have something in writing from this Board. We have turned people away at this Convention and said, "no" unless they have something in writing because, this is not right to the other membership.

One individual called me and wanted to stand outside and sell certain kinds of books and I told him he was welcome to do it if he first paid the exhibit fee.

MR. FLENTJE: I think that perhaps Bill should



26  
7  
have some direction on what he can do for the program insofar as paying speakers is concerned or are we going to go on a catch as catch can basis? I think that maybe Bud can give you a little insight as to the problems that our Program Committee has had.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Have you lined up any speakers, Bill?

MR. CHAMBERS: Well, we have had in mind thus far, and here again we have not met, but we have a good wealth of talent right in southern California from which to draw. Of course, we do not want to make it a Southern California Clinic but I am sure there will be speakers in that area and, further, it might be nice to give them just a little something because, after all, you cannot expect a guy, let us say, to come from Kansas City and take the time away from his practice or something like that and not compensate him in some way. At least that is my feeling.

MR. HOOVER: Sometimes we get enough room in blocks that we may want to use them on this basis. I believe we get one room in fifty when we go into some of these hotels. We can give fifty complimentary rooms in relation to that and I believe that would help. That is one way we could go.

MR. DAVIS: Of course, this gives you something to think about.

For example, Schering paid full expenses for the people they brought out to this symposium. There was first-class air travel from your city, place of residence to Kansas City involved here. They also paid all of their meals, all of their long distance phone calls they received while here, feeling that inasmuch as these individuals all were engaged in the private practice of medicine that there were people going to be phoning them and so they just opened the door to these speakers that they brought in, plus giving them an honorarium.

Therefore, this is where we are going to need a guide to go by, especially if you start that type of thing.

MR. HOOVER: How many speakers were here, Bud?

MR. MILLER: Altogether, for speaking purposes, we probably had ten to fifteen speakers. However, I don't think you have to be that extravagant. I just think, on the other hand, that the day has come when we no longer can put on a quality program for our membership on a free basis.

I do think there are a lot of good people within our membership that we can use but it also seems to me that we are constantly trying to do something different in connection with our programs and, therefore, if you do something different, then you are not going to get it for free. Perhaps a fifty-dollar honorarium would be the answer. Just a token fee.

For example, I had one individual fly out here and I put him up in a room but he paid his own meals. He came out of Indiana. Now, this was a personal friend and he wished to help me but, on the other hand, that is beside the point.

If we are going to have a quality program, and I think we are off to a real good start with what Bill has planned, then I think we have to have a token type of honorarium, with expenses. Anyone will come for expenses.

MR. DAVIS: Bud, will you write up your recommendations and suggestions so that Fred can have them?

MR. HOOVER: We have to do this soon and before we continue to do what we are presently doing.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: A word to Bill with regard to paying expenses, do you have any comments on that?

MR. CHAMBERS: Well, what about the trainers?



29 Do we count their registration fee if they speak on our program?

MR. HOOVER: This time we had trainers speaking and we did not.

Now, one last thing and that is I would like to have some type of action taken to create another position on my committee, an Associate National Chairman or whatever you want to call him, but somebody I can go and have help me with a lot of the details of running a convention. It is not written that way. We have registration, for example and, in connection with that, the way it is written, each year my committee would have a local committee that would automatically come under my committee. However, I don't have an Associate Chairman or a person of that caliber whom I can use to help. I don't really care what you call this person, even a Convention Coordinator, but I need some help to run the Convention.

MR. LANE: Bill, did you hear what Fred just said about an Associate Director to help him?

MR. CHAMBERS: I heard him say he needs help but I need help also.

MR. LANE: It therefore immediately comes to my mind as to what preparation you have made for Anaheim. You are well trained and would you be interested?

MR. HOOVER: This won't work because he is going to be in the future. The main thing is I want to get permission to do this.

MR. DAVIS: You already have it.

MR. HOOVER: Then I don't have to worry, I can go ahead and name an individual?

MR. DAVIS: You, as Chairman of the National Convention Committee, can do as you please. All you have to do is to submit the individual's name and then

it will be approved.

MR. HOOVER: What I am thinking about, and I do not want to waste too much of your time here, is a person that would be a coordinator of, for example, rooms. Doing nothing but taking care of rooms. You cannot believe how many times we have changed rooms both here and downstairs. We cannot go into District rooms with forty people any more, we have to provide for a hundred people. Therefore, I want one man to handle that.

Then perhaps another person would be able to trouble-shoot the whole hotel.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand it, you have that authority.

MR. HOOVER: Are there any other questions, Bud, in relation to anything we have done or have not done that people have complained about that we can bring up now and which I may not have covered?

MR. MILLER: I cannot think of any.

MR. HOOVER: Very well. We then come to future convention sites.

MR. DAVIS: I would like to say and add one comment about yesterday afternoon and I did not hear the man's lecture.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There were two that I have had a number of comments on, one on podiatry and one on running. One was a track man and the other a podiatrist and I understand that they put out so much information that it bordered on being on the ridiculous and that Dr. Nicholas did shoot them both down.

MR. DAVIS: Also, a couple of others said that some of the information they put down was not valid. After all, this is a consideration, especially when you have young people here taking notes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Has anybody else heard about



this incident?

31 I would suggest to the Convention Program Committee that they stay away from anybody as controversial as Dr. Sheen was because I read one of his articles earlier in the year indicating that every problem exists in the world because you have a bad foot. He thinks along that line.

MR. HOOVER: I will say one thing and that is that there has been a lot of interest and good reception in relation to the student trainer program that is going on today. In relation to that program, we probably needed larger space. Bud did an excellent job on it. All of the speakers and comments were excellent.

As a matter of fact, it was not only the students attending this but there were also members going in and listening to the same lectures. They were filtering into the student trainer program to listen to the various speakers. Therefore, maybe you can plan for more of this.

Now, with regard to future convention sites, we still have to get 1979, 1980, 1981 and 1982 if we could today.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Okay, with regard to 1979, let us proceed on that one. Perhaps we should again give District 6 an opportunity to make a pitch on El Paso.

MR. LANE: I talked to some of our membership. They still want it. We in District 6 still feel we definitely can handle it.

With regard to the proposed convention site, El Paso, I have attended banquets there and, further, El Paso is a fine convention site. I don't think Fred will have any problem insofar as space for displays is concerned, lecture halls and the like at El Paso.

This will have to be held in the Convention

Center because I don't know of any hotel in the City of El Paso that could handle us right now.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Fred, do you wish to comment?

MR. HOOVER: I will wait until he is through.

MR. LANE: Of course, El Paso is a District point in District 6 and there is no doubt about that. It would take a lot of coordination on the part of District 6 to put on a tremendous program. However, I think we can offset this with the enthusiasm that we would have within our District.

The thing that concerns me is maybe the lack of hotel space in the city. I have been there with athletic teams and it leaves something to be desired. However, with regard to the convention site, I just cannot say enough about it. Also, the Convention Center, I just cannot say enough about that.

Therefore, I would appreciate it if Fred would go ahead on that basis.

MR. HOOVER: I wrote the Convention Center to get rates on what it would cost us and the Grand Hall, which is 60,000 square feet, is \$650 a day. Then you go to a South Hall, North Hall, which is 36,000 square feet and that is \$350. Meeting rooms run \$150 a day.

Now, the two of those which we really would be concerned about would be the theater and maybe the exhibit hall, which would run us approximately \$1100 per day. It would be \$1,050 a day for rental when you start talking about convention hall. This would also involve an additional cost for electrical hook-ups at ten dollars a day per box.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How much would it cost the NATA?

MR. HOOVER: Well, I don't know how to figure this because we have never done it. I would have to get together with someone on it but it could run pretty heavy.



It would run, by way of rental, somewhere between \$3,500 to \$4,000, let's say \$5,000.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: On the other hand, how much would it cost in a hotel.

MR. HOOVER: Well, we would still pay rental for the exhibits but I don't think the figure would be anything like that. This is something we are going to have to think about because we may be moving into convention centers all over the place.

MR. CHAMBERS: Of course, next year it is going down.

MR. CROWL: We don't pay anything for the meeting rooms.

MR. HOOVER: You pay for meeting rooms and special set-ups. If you have a special room set-up, such as Schering, a special set-up there, you pay extra.

MR. SMITH: District 9, when they were presented with this matter the other night, there was also stated to them the feeling of the Board from the January meeting and several people commented to me about New Orleans. They would like to present New Orleans for 1979 and if they cannot get it in 1979, they would like to have it in 1981. However, the principle reason they hit for 1979 is that many of them brought up the question of it being at Las Vegas the year before and going back that far in 1979.

Insofar as hotel space is concerned, they tell me that there is a new one going up that will be more than adequate.

MR. HOOVER: There is one there now larger than this one.

MR. SMITH: There is another new one that should be ready by 1979.

MR. WHITE: Let me say that Columbus, Ohio is having a new structure going up that will be able to handle all of this adequately. We have been there two other times before and I think the meeting has been acceptable from the standpoint of programs and going back to a city for a third time, Columbus would be able to handle adequately the convention from a hotel standpoint.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there anybody that would like to speak for or against any of these sites that have been proposed.

MR. FALB: Nothing else, other than what we heard yesterday. We had an individual speak to our group yesterday and he mentioned a convention center there.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How about someone from the outlying districts? How do you feel, district 10, about going to El Paso, Louisiana or Ohio?

MR. MELHART: Well, we have put our preference in there. We voted on it yesterday and they voted to go to New Orleans. That is the furthest point.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, Lew, you naturally picked New Orleans but did your District?

MR. CROWL: Not for 1979.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you have any feeling?

MR. CROWL: Personally, I would like to go to New Orleans.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How about you, Warren?

MR. LEE: My district did not vote on it but I would go along with New Orleans also.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: And you, Eddie, I am sure



you are going to stay with Texas or they will shoot you.

MR. FLENTJE: I would see ourselves going to New Orleans.

MR. WHITE: We will have to go to Columbus.

MR. LEWELLYN: We did not vote on it but I would just as soon go to New Orleans.

MR. SHERIDAN: New Orleans.

MR. DAVIS: There was a good comment made here before about going to Las Vegas in 1978 and then coming back to a deep South area or city like New Orleans in 1979, especially when the bulk of your membership comes from the Northern sector up here. Also, New Orleans is our flip-flop city -- it will fall either in Eastern or Central.

MR. HOOVER: For 1976, we are going to be East and then in 1977 we are going to be Midwest.

In other words, 1976 will be Boston and 1977 Detroit.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is right -- two northern cities.

MR. LANE: To our way of thinking, we do not consider Detroit as East.

MR. DAVIS: It is Central.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Whereas, on the other hand, New Orleans is West Central, Detroit is kind of East Central.

MR. LANE: El Paso was considered the same, really, flip-flop West Central.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Can we have a motion? Does anyone desire to make a motion for any one of these cities?

MR. FLENTJE: I make a motion we accept New Orleans.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor of New Orleans, indicate by raising your right hand; opposed by the same sign. There are four in favor and two against.

There is no need, really, to propose the other two cities.

How about 1980?

MR. HOOVER: That is Miami, Florida, Philadelphia and Washington, D. C.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Gene, do you want to back up?

MR. SMITH: Definitely. Miami is out.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How about Philadelphia?

MR. SHERIDAN: We really did not talk about it at our meeting. Right now I don't think there is a large enough place in Philadelphia to handle it. The Ben Franklin Hotel is probably the biggest and you get a thousand people in there and you cannot handle any more.

MR. DAVIS: Also, Philadelphia is not the greatest city to bring a family to.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you have another alternate site?

MR. SHERIDAN: District 3 was talking about a city.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you have a proposal?

MR. LEWELLYN: We took a vote on it yesterday from the membership and they are willing to handle the convention in Washington and Fred can probably talk



to you more on the local situation than I can. We think the nation's capitol would be a good place.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Districts 9 and 2 have dropped out and so there is really not too much discussion left. Shall we have a motion?

MR. LEE: Let's have a little bit more discussion. In other words, Otho, you brought it up. Are Baltimore, Washington and Philadelphia about the same to bring a family to?

MR. DAVIS: Well, you have more attractions in Washington than you do in Baltimore or Philadelphia.

I think for family planning, with regard to Washington, there is Alexandria and all of the Virginia side. There is nothing in Philadelphia that you would want to take a tour to, at least in my opinion.

MR. CROWL: On the other hand, does Washington have the hotel to handle it?

MR. HOOVER: Yes. They have the Washington Hilton that can handle the exhibits and lectures on two levels.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It has enough rooms?

MR. HOOVER: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: There is also the Marriott at Philadelphia.

MR. HOOVER: They can come close to it.

MR. DAVIS: However, they could not handle it adequately in relation to exhibits?

MR. HOOVER: No.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't even know about the rooms. We are talking about six years away and by

that time we would have thirteen or fourteen hundred members anyhow.

MR. HOOVER: The way this looks to me, and I hate to say this because it will change things, but that is, as we grow here, we also have to think about maybe going to a convention center in relation to our future meetings. Therefore, any hotel we have also has to be close to the convention center.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Shall we have a motion for 1980?

MR. LEWELLYN: I would make a motion we accept Washington, D. C. as the convention site for 1980.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed. The motion is carried.

MR. HOOVER: Now, 1981 is Central -- St. Louis, New Orleans and Houston, Texas. I believe that New Orleans is out.

MR. LANE: I have passed out to all of the Directors a brochure on the Shamrock Hilton where we had our convention in 1968 at Houston. It is improved over that. It is bigger and they have more convention exhibit space, etc. Also, they definitely want us.

Eric Wagner is Convention Sales Manager for the Shamrock Hilton and he states, "I note that the NATA traditionally meets in early June and at this time, the first weeks of both 1979 and 1981 are open. I will tentatively protect 600 rooms for the dates of June 10-14 and June 11-18."

Let me say that they have improved over 1968.

Again, we also have a wealth of speakers. We have a central location basically from which we can get people in from any part of the country as speakers. I



don't think there is any problem for exhibitors coming.

I believe the only bad thing that Houston has to offer is humidity.

MR. HOOVER: One of your members came to me last night and wanted to know whether Dallas-Fort Worth was not included in that same area because of both cities?

MR. LANE: It hasn't been brought up to me.

When we were discussing this at the last Board Meeting, I believe I tentatively offered Dallas-Fort Worth in 1983.

MR. HOOVER: Also, in connection with these two cities, now, would that be putting you in competition with both of them?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Of course, you have to decide which city they actually want to propose.

MR. LANE: Well, to speak a little bit for Dallas-Fort Worth, that area, we do not refer to it as Dallas-Fort Worth, we refer to it as a metroplex. For all practical purposes, it is one big city.

We have a great big convention center in Dallas. Likewise, Fort Worth has one. We have the Six Flags of Texas located between the two, which is a convention site also. We have a wealth of convention sites in the Dallas-Fort Worth area.

I was going along with what the membership asked me to do last year and that was promote El Paso for 1979, Houston for 1981 and then when Dallas-Fort Worth comes up for discussion as an 1983 site, that this might be at that time in the future -- in other words, promote Dallas-Fort Worth for 1983.

Speaking for the trainers, I think we would be happy to have Dallas-Fort Worth and I think, overall, the members in District 6 would be happy to have it no

matter where we have it in the State of Texas.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, for us to make a decision, we first have to have some kind of proposal on it.

MR. HOOVER: Listening to all of this this morning and just like Bob is faced with in Detroit, we have a problem that I hate to keep mentioning but it is growing. For example, everything that we based on our discussions last January has now been changing.

I came in here desiring to go through 1981 and 1982 but I wonder if we possibly could not give this further study.

For example, with regard to Houston and the Shamrock-Hilton, you said you would be in favor of that. However, from our point of view, we then have to go there and take a look at it again and see if they will let us have about 800 rooms and all of the other facilities.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: On the other hand, I believe we have to be more specific here at this point because I feel that if someone, for example, from New England were going to Houston or Dallas, it is a lot different than going to El Paso.

MR. HOOVER: Well, I am sure we can designate either one or the other in advance but, on the other hand, we have to get more information on both of them because, just like that proposal was read, the same one I have, when we got that it looked good but there are now changes being made.

MR. DAVIS: Will you let Eddie pin it down? It is District 6.

MR. HOOVER: That is who I am calling upon.

MR. FLENTJE: I wonder if we cannot table this until the January Meeting.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we have to get going , either it should be Houston or Dallas.

MR. LANE: As I understand it, we are talking about 1981?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Houston or Dallas.

MR. LANE: As I explained my thinking, I came totally unprepared to discuss Dallas.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It will be up to Fred to look into it.

MR. HOOVER: Well, I can hold 1981 until January but what I am saying we are in trouble if we run into labor difficulties there. Of course, that is nobody's fault. In other words, if that hotel is not ready by that time, then we will have difficulty. They may have to move to another city in that District in order to meet the requirements that we are going to have to have.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think that is a good idea, that where you are projecting that far ahead, for instance, to 1981, I think we could decide or talk about something in June and then decide maybe in January of the following year as long as District 6 is the host.

Now, Bill, how about something for St. Louis?

MR. FLENTJE: Not at this time.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That knocks it down. For January you are going to propose either a hotel in Houston or Dallas?

MR. HOOVER: Let's say it will be in Houston or Dallas until we can get a proposal from all of the hotels.

MR. LANE: You know, I kind of like the idea from a pure business sense, we have two people

competing against each other. You know, we could come out of this smelling real good, especially when you get Houston competing against Dallas-Fort Worth.

MR. HOOVER: If I were to go and sign an option in Houston, with the Shamrock, we could get an attorney after us.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is not too much you can do now because St. Louis and New Orleans have backed out.

MR. CROWL: I would make a motion we either go to Houston or Dallas in 1981 and decide it in January.

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The motion is that we either go to Houston or Dallas in 1981 and that this be decided at the January Meeting.

All in favor raise your right hand; opposed?  
The motion is carried.

We now are going to talk about 1982.

MR. HOOVER: The cities that have been submitted are San Francisco, Seattle and Phoenix.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: San Francisco is up on top?

MR. CROWL: District 8 voted yesterday to endorse Seattle.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Therefore, we want to cross San Francisco out and it is now down to Seattle and Phoenix. How about Seattle?

MR. MELHART: Our District, of course, we have never had a convention. We were a part of District 8 when it was held in California but we were never anywhere close to the Northwest.



We feel that in relation to Seattle we do have hotel space available. There is one for sure and there is another one, the Washington-Plaza Hotel there, and I think Fred has been there, that is going to put up a new tower, a second tower. It is a very nice hotel although, like this hotel, they do not have a waterfall in the lobby. Also, there are no tornadoes out there.

Also, in 1982, if you were to go to the Convention Center, Seattle has an excellent one, which is now on the grounds of the World's Fair and the little folder I have passed out to you gives you an idea of the buildings that are available.

There is a huge amount of space. Now, I don't have the price or anything insofar as what it would involve in rental costs of the building but if our membership is at the point which anticipates the rental of a convention center, then we will likewise have an appropriate financial problem.

However, as you can see from the summary, there are various buildings available. We have various rooms and amounts that can be held in hotels, plus some sight-seeing material and then, in the blue folder, you have some of the top people in the state who are inviting us to that area.

As to the weather in June, well, it does rain but not that much. We do not have as much total rain as some of the other places in the country.

We, in District 10, are eager to have the convention at Seattle. We have a good group of people insofar as certified people are concerned to help on it. We have ten certified people in Seattle now plus various other individuals.

We have, of course, medical school tours through Washington to draw from and also the Portland area, the University of Oregon from which to get people. We feel we can put on a good program. We really want

to put on and we officially invite the membership to Seattle.

MR. LEE: District 7 is also very eager to host the 1982 Convention at Phoenix.

I want to apologize for not having a packet like Dick but I do have four I passed out around here.

Insofar as Phoenix is concerned, I think you can see that it has a brand new Civic Plaza there. It would be an excellent place at which to host a convention.

In 1976 they plan to open up a Hyatt Regency Hotel, which would be one of a number of hotels in this area. This hotel has 704 guest rooms and this hotel, at this time, would be able to house a convention, even saying it has banquet facilities for 1100 people at this time. This is also in the same area as the Convention Center, which could seat up to 2500 people and has exhibit space that would be more than ample.

Insofar as the program is concerned, Arizona has a medical school that we are able to draw from and also from both East and West.

We are well organized. We have, at the present time, twenty-five certified trainers in Arizona alone. Insofar as entertainment would be concerned, even though it is warm at that time of year in that part of the country, we have looked around and there would be plenty to do from a family standpoint.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you. Is there anyone who would like to speak for or against either one of these cities?

MR. LEE: There is one point I want to get across and that is we are not making a token grab for this. We really want to do it and we are set up to do it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: When was the last time



District 7 had a convention?

MR. MELHART: We hosted the 1970 Convention in Denver.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have never had one?

MR. MELHART: No.

MR. SHERIDAN: I believe the last one on the West Coast was at Palo Alto.

MR. MELHART: I would like to add one other thing.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How do you feel about the Arizona and Texas area -- Houston - Dallas being chosen in 1981, especially if we go to Arizona in 1982.

MR. SHERIDAN: How far is Las Vegas from Phoenix?

MR. LEE: Three hundred fifty miles.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we are ready for a motion for Seattle or Phoenix. Will somebody make a motion?

MR. FLENTJE: I make a motion we accept Seattle.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor of Seattle raise your hands. (nine hands were raised)

Are there any opposed? I see that Mr. Lee has raised his hand in opposition.

MR. HOOVER: Well, Mr. Chairman, that is as far as I want to go and I will also give you a brief review of 1978.

We have signed with the MGM Hotel in Las

Vegas. 1977 is going to be worked out at their meeting and if we have problems where we cannot get adequate facilities right then we will, with the District, work that out before we leave here.

Now, this gets us to Boston. In connection with Boston, that is all set. There is a contract.

Also, Anaheim is all set and, therefore, if you have any questions in relation to any of these, that is it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you, Fred. We really appreciate it.

Let me ask you this, Fred, how much more is your budget than last year?

MR. HOOVER: To be honest with you, we did not keep one. We are still establishing this Convention right here.

MR. DAVIS: Let me indicate to you at this point that your hotel convention registration and banquet has nothing to do with the Convention Committee or its operating expense budget.

MR. HOOVER: My budget is with regard to mailing of the materials, getting things and traveling to various cities. It involves a tremendous amount of mail and answering of letters from many people.

MR. SHERIDAN: To get this matter on the road, I would move that we approve the budget for the National Convention Committee.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor raise your right hand; opposed? It is carried.

That is a budget for \$2,025?



MR. HOOVER: Please understand that is pre-convention expenses but insofar as the convention itself is concerned, I am going to run a total on this convention in relation to the audio-visual and things so that we can compare when we go to the next one. In other words, it will be accurate and we will have something to go on when we start looking at audio-visual in other places.

MR. DAVIS: What you have here is Fred's budget from the end of this convention to the beginning of the next year and it does not include anything during the convention.

MR. HOOVER: Thank you. If you don't have anything further, that is it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you very much, Fred.

The next item on the agenda has to do with the matter of the report and discussion pertaining to the Ad Hoc Committee on Women's Athletic Training. Who is going to make the report? Are you going to make the report, Holly?

This is Holly Wilson, our Ad Hoc Committee Chairman for Women Athletic Trainers and I have asked her to come in. They formed their committee in January, as you will all recall, and I asked them to give us a report at the June Meeting.

MISS HOLLY WILSON: Mr. George, Mr. Davis and Members of the Board of Directors: First of all I would like to introduce some of the other members of the Ad Hoc Committee who, as Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee on Women in Athletic Training I would like to report our activities to the Board and make recommendations on how the NATA can help in the advancement of women in athletic training.

First of all I have Marge Albaum from Concordia University. Then I have Joan Gillette, our professor of women's tennis tour from Burke, Virginia.

Now, first of all, I think it is evident to us all that women are showing more interest in the field of athletic training.

Concerning membership, from 1966 to 1971, eight women were members of the NATA. In 1972, fourteen women joined and from 1973 to the present, eighty-one. Presently there are approximately 105 women members of the NATA. Thirteen of those 105 have been certified.

Concerning curriculum, in 1972-1973, only five of the fifteen NATA approved undergraduate training curriculums accepted women. By 1973-1974, seventeen of the twenty-two accepted women and both graduate curriculums were opened to women.

Concerning publications on some aspect of athletic training for women, to the best of my knowledge, eight articles have been published in major magazines and one book has been written on the physiological, psychological and sociological aspects of women in athletics.

In March of 1973, a section "Not For Men Only" was started in the Athletic Training Journal of the NATA.

Concerning the number of workshops for women coaches, Cramer's is co-sponsoring, with the Division of Girls and Women Sports, seven workshops this summer. Last summer only one workshop was scheduled.

In addition, many colleges are offering workshops for undergraduate or graduate credits.

In the fall DGWS is sponsoring four national coaching clinics throughout the United States. In each clinic is included a section on athletic training.

Women trainers also have presented sessions at NATA District Meetings, the State and National Conventions of the American Association of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, as well as many meetings



sponsored by DGWS.

Concerning the job market for women trainers, it is finally beginning to open up. At the end of February, the Women's Physical Education Department at Indiana State University sent out a two page questionnaire on job availability to all AIAW schools in the United States. The AIAW is the Association of Intercollegiate Athletics for Women, which is comparable to the NCAA.

Approximately 381 questionnaires were sent out. 218 or 57.2 percent were returned.

From the questionnaires, seventeen schools were identified as having an opening for a woman trainer in 1974, this fall.

Twenty-one schools were undecided.

I have given A. G. Edwards a list of twenty-five job openings that will be available from 1974 through 1976. I have also given him a list of thirty-one graduate assistanceship positions for women who have a background in athletic training.

Besides job availability, the questionnaire identified the teaching responsibilities, salary ranges and job requirements of these positions. It also sought information on why a school did not work to hire a woman trainer -- the most prevalent reason being financial reasons.

To determine exactly what the female membership of the NATA desired from the organization, a questionnaire was sent out in March of this year, again by the Women's Physical Education Department at Indiana State. Seventy-one women trainers of the ninety-one contacted returned their questionnaires. The results of the questionnaire have guided the ad hoc committee in preparing the following list of recommendations on how the NATA can better serve the woman trainer:

First of all, I would like to point out that

the women have no intention of, nor have they ever had any intentions of, separating from the NATA. The women who are currently members of the NATA would like to take a more active part in the organization. Our concerns are the same as the men. Our objectives are as follows:

1. Establish a new professional role in the field of women's athletics.
2. Gain support and understanding of administrators and colleagues for the need of women trainers.
3. Help provide more educational opportunities in athletic training.
4. Issue more invitations to women in physical education conferences and meetings so their interest will be sparked in the field.
5. Circulate publications pertaining to women and professional trainers in colleges and physical education departments.
6. Provide vocational materials to circulate in elementary, junior high and high schools that present women in the field of athletic training.
7. Make available pamphlets on qualifications and educational requirements for athletic trainers.
8. Provide more opportunities to serve as trainers for various women's competition at high school and college at district, state, regional, national and international competition.
9. Help to gain recognition by the Olympic Committee to provide women trainers for women's teams for all the international games.

As you can see, many of these are essentially the same objectives of the NATA. We are striving to



educate the public about the values of providing professionally trained paramedics for sports competition, regardless of the level.

These objectives can best be met through the present standing committees of the NATA. One of the ad hoc committee's recommendations is that women be appointed to each of the committees so that they may provide input. However, if this is not possible at the present time because committee appointments have already been made for this year, the women would like to volunteer to serve in some capacity on the committees, perhaps as a special consultant.

We would like to secure the Board's permission to attend the meetings of all committees to provide better feedback to women in the NATA and women interested in joining the profession.

It is obvious that women are willing to work because many have already unofficially carried out some of the responsibilities of representatives of various committees.

Secondly, the ad hoc committee would like to have women liaisons appointed to the three governing bodies in women's athletics:

1. The AIAW.
2. The DGWS.
3. The American Federation of High School Athletics.

Representation at the meetings of these organizations would result in a valuable educational process which would aid in understanding the role of the woman trainer.

Thirdly, the committee would like to retain the ad hoc status. Since our objectives are essentially the same, the standing committees could stand as an avenue toward solving some of our problems. Until

appointments can be made, the committee members feel it would be necessary to continue as a central means of communication for women. Once appointments are made, the need for the committee will no longer exist; therefore, it can be terminated.

In summary, we do not want to separate from the NATA but we would like the Board to act on the following recommendations. We feel that the fulfillment of such recommendations will help educate administrators, colleagues and the general public about the need for women trainers in the women's competitive athletics.

Our recommendations are:

1. Appoint women representatives to the existing NATA standing committees.
2. Appoint liaison to the AIAW, the DGWS and the American Federation of High School Athletics.
3. Retain the committee's ad hoc status.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: With regard to the American Federation of High School Athletics, do they have annual meetings? I know that the Federation does, but do the other two groups have national meetings?

MISS WILSON: Yes.

The consensus of opinion, among the women that attended yesterday's ad hoc committee meeting was that we wanted to be involved in the organization but we want to proceed with care so that we can learn the proper functionings of the organization. We are not here to force any issues but just make recommendations. We will trust your judgment in how best to implement these recommendations.

I would like the Board's permission to print this presentation and the Board's action in the next column of "Not For Men Only" in Athletic Training.

Thank you very much.



MR. WHITE: As another proposal, are you also asking for a continuation of the Ad Hoc Committee?

MISS WILSON: Yes.

MR. WHITE: And the first was to be on standing committees, the second one a liaison and the third to continue ad hoc status?

MR. DAVIS: I thought your first recommendation was to appoint the existing committee; second would be liaison and third would be a published report in the Journal and then the fourth was continued status, ad hoc status.

MR. LANE: That publication, was that a request or recommendation, the publication?

MISS WILSON: It was more of a request, just to clear it with the Board.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Which committees now have women on them?

MR. DAVIS: The Journal Committee and the Research Committee.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are there any women involved in education with approved schools?

MISS WILSON: Did Indiana University just receive approval?

MR. DAVIS: It is an approved school.

MISS WILSON: Marge Albaum is at Indiana University. Gale Weldon will be at Western Illinois.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Let me ask this of Bruce.

In order to be a member of a committee, do you have to be certified?

MR. MELIN: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Did you understand that?

MISS WILSON: Marge Walbaum is certified, I am certified and Gale just hit certification.

MR. DAVIS: Also, the matter of appointment to the committee has to come from the committee chairman. That is true insofar as the bylaws now read. The committee chairman may select just about anyone he chooses with Board approval. I cannot ever recall us rejecting the request of a committee chairman to have someone on a particular committee.

MR. SHERIDAN: I would like to make a motion we accept the report of the Women's Ad Hoc Committee as presented.

MR. LEWELLYN: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is a motion before the Board to accept the report. This is not to accept each individual request because after they leave we will discuss them. We will vote on them individually. However, there is a motion before the Board to merely accept the report as read.

All in favor raise your hands; opposed by like sign. It is carried.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, Holly, what we will do is that we will discuss these, vote on each individual one and then we will tell you which of them have been approved.

MISS WILSON: I would like to extend an invitation to all of the Board members to a meeting tonight with Protective Products, one which they are sponsoring. We would like for all of you to come and get acquainted with all of the ladies that are here.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you very much for your invitation. I am sure that some will be there at any rate.



...At this point, the ladies left the room...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, gentlemen, let us consider and act on these recommendations one by one. I believe the first request was to appoint women to standing committees. Would anybody like to speak in favor or against? Of course, we cannot say who is going to go to what. We can make a recommendation to the committee chairman to select a woman or consider a woman for appointment to their committee.

MR. CROWL: I would like to say that in January, when this was brought up, I was concerned about a division of them, in other words, them having their own organization, but today I really feel good about her presentation. I really and truly feel they want to work with us and I feel in order for them to work with us we are going to have to put them on committees because if we exclude them, they are going to form their own organization.

I think what she proposes is one of the things we discussed but I would like to hear what Gene has to say.

MR. SMITH: Insofar as some of our members down there are concerned, let them be on some of the committees. I don't know, for example, as though they are too enthused about the whole thing but, on the other hand, they want to be sure they don't start coming in and taking over and then going full blast. From anything I can see, I believe they still must be elected to anything like a Director's position.

MR. FLENTJE: I think we are getting close to the heart of the matter in the fact that, okay, I think if they qualify, they should be on the committees. However, just because they are women does not give them a God-given right to be on them. That is the way I feel about it.

I think we can suggest to a committee chairman that perhaps some women would qualify for some of these positions or have special interests in a particular

area that could help them. However, insofar as saying "yes, we will appoint them," I would say "no" because then you are going to end up with all minority groups.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand the regulations, we cannot appoint anyone to a committee.

MR. DAVIS: The Board of Directors cannot do it. It has to come from each individual chairman. If he wants them on there, he can make a recommendation.

Now, gentlemen, I will tell you something. If you want to appease these girls a little bit, you will have to spoon feed them and give them a little bit at a time but keep them under control. If you don't, they are going to go off on their own and, by golly, I have seen this done. This is very evident in some of these physical education schools because, boy, when they get shot down, they just keep coming back, they don't quit.

MR. FLENTJE: I don't think we should shoot them down.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you think we should make a recommendation to the committee chairmen to add a female?

MR. DAVIS: Just in going over what they requested -- for example, appointment to existing committees -- there they of course already have two. There are women on both the Journal and the Research Committee but any others would have to come through the chairman of any particular committee.

MR. SMITH: In connection with some of these committees, I don't believe they would be of value to their own organization, would they?

MR. DAVIS: On some of the committees, no.

MR. SMITH: They are not asking to be on every committee, are they?

MR. DAVIS: No. They want representation



and they are already represented on two committees. I think they could probably contribute to the Education Committee if they were on there and possibly the Certification Committee also.

MR. LEE: They are looking at the women's group to see who they feel is most qualified. They brought it up and discussed it at quite some length at their meeting.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand the motion, they recommend that they be appointed to each standing committee. Now, on this basis, does anyone wish to present a motion?

MR. WHITE: Can I make a motion that we recommend that each of the committee chairmen seriously consider the possibility of adding the females to the committee if there is not one at present?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That certainly sounds reasonable.

MR. DAVIS: Leave out the word "seriously" and just say "consider."

MR. LANE: Did we not, at the January meeting, do this? This was one of our recommendations, I believe at the January meeting, was it not?

MR. DAVIS: I think we discussed it and then we went back and put in the ad hoc and mentioned again it was up to the committee chairman.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there anybody else that would like to speak either for or against? It is not really a motion yet but in relation to what Bob has presented?

MR. MELIN: I would just like to explain that the composition of the membership of the various committees is described in the bylaws and so it would be wise to realize that any motion you make would be

in the form of a recommendation or resolution and it does not seem it would be wise to change the bylaws with respect to the placing of women on committees because this, as you would recognize, would get into a very sticky problem of what might be termed "quotas" or something like that. However, if the motion is a resolution or recommendation, and everybody would understand it, then I don't think it would affect the bylaws in any way and they could be appointed in the usual manner.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Has somebody a resolution they wish to present? If so, let us hear it.

MR. MELIN: It can be done either way -- it doesn't make any difference.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I know both the Education and the Certification Committees can use as much help as they can get.

MR. MELHART: We have a hard time finding people now that will work. Therefore, if some of those gals want to work hard and be members of the committee, then I think it can do nothing but help us.

MR. SHERIDAN: I feel the same as Bill indicated -- I don't think we should jump on the bandwagon and have seven of the thirteen appointed to a committee right away because we just cannot get into that kind of a situation.

I think, either as Frank or Bill said, that we should make the committee chairmen aware that there are females available for committee work and that is it. If we go putting seven of them on committees then we are going to get static from some of the guys.

MR. WHITE: Could we say we recommend, the Board recommends that each committee chairman consider appointing certified women athletic trainers to their committee?

MR. FLENTJE: Would it be in order to read



"deny the request but recommend that?"

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I don't think you should put any "denying" in there.

MR. WHITE: We are going to have to act on their request.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We are making a recommendation. I would like you to add something -- to direct the Chairman of the Women's Ad Hoc Committee to send a list of certified members of women athletic trainers to each committee chairman, because how are these guys going to know who to pick from?

Now, on that basis, perhaps, Bob, you could again repeat your motion.

MR. WHITE: It is that we recommend that we ask each committee chairman to consider appointing women to their committees and that a list of women eligible be made available to the committee chairmen.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You should put in there "certified women."

MR. SHERIDAN: He has already the word "eligible" in there.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, put "certified" in -- in other words, that they have to be certified.

MR. DAVIS: They have to be certified except, I think, on her Ad Hoc Committee and we left that open.

MR. MELIN: Certified for all committees that are specified in the bylaws.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you all understand the motion? I believe it has been seconded..

...The motion was again seconded...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor of the motion as discussed will raise your right hand; opposed? The motion is unanimously carried.

Now we come to their next recommendation, concerning liaison representation.

MR. FLENTJE: With regard to these organizations, do they really desire liaison with us? I know we approve liaison to other organizations.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We are not naming anyone yet until we write to them and ask them how they feel about liaison.

MR. FLENTJE: However, do we have their permission to send someone to their meetings, etc?

MR. DAVIS: She is asking for liaison, for example, with the Association of Intercollegiate Women, the Division of Girls and Women's Sports and the National Federation of High School Athletics. We have a representative to the National Federation of High School Athletics and it might be in their best interest that you direct Holly to correspond with the other two groups in order to see if they wish liaison representation from our women, from our association to their organization.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Can anyone tell me any more about the Division of Girls and Women's Sports?

MR. DAVIS: It is a very strong group within the AAHPER. That is the parent organization.

MR. CROWL: Inasmuch as we are not separate organizations, it seems to me that it would be within our place to ask if they want liaison rather than to ask Holly if she is willing to find that out. In other words, it should be us requesting the liaison or asking about it rather than these girls.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That might look even better.



MR. CROWL: For example, if we authorize Holly to go to them, then we are almost saying that they are a separate group, they can go and find out and we don't want it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we will write to them with the same procedure that we have written to the other three that we have named.

MR. CROWL: Do you need a motion?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Just make a motion that we begin liaison inquiries with those organizations -- to investigate liaison with those two groups. Probably that is what the motion should be.

MR. CROWL: I would so move.

MR. SHERIDAN: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have before you the motion and the second as just stated. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed "no." The motion is carried.

Now, do we desire a motion for the continuation of the Ad Hoc Committee? Shall we have a motion?

MR. LANE: I would move continuation of the Ad Hoc Committee?

MR. LEE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It has been moved and seconded that the Ad Hoc Committee be continued. Is there further discussion?

All in favor of the motion indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? The motion is carried.

Now, they want to put the report in the

Journal. They have got a column and space and so why not?

MR. DAVIS: She is the author of the Journal and if she wants to have that put in there, it is merely approved by the Editor of the Journal and they print it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do I have a motion?

MR. DAVIS: I don't believe you need a motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Very well. Very good.

Now, I have on the first page of the agenda the item of the Drug Education Committee. Do we have anything else from them?

Fran, do you have something?

MR. SHERIDAN: Do you want to get your papers out on that, the letter of Phil Donnelly, etc.?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How was Phil originally contacted, that is what we are looking for.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let me tell you that, first of all, I got hold of Phil and I told him the Board wanted clarification from him as to what his status was in relation to the Drug Education Committee. I explained to him that we had appointed John Wells and as of now he had not been accepted as far as we knew.

Phil indicated that he received their letter and he felt so strongly about Al Hart and the work he has started, that he just felt he would like to continue in Al's footsteps.

He also said when he first heard about our representative to the committee, he felt that he would step out of it at which point he wrote the letter which we have a copy of. We then received another letter and as far as he knows, he has been appointed a member of the



Drug Education Committee by the NCAA and if he goes there he is just a member of the committee and not representing or having anything to do with the NATA or liaison with their drug committee.

He also stated that he does not want to make any problem with the Board and if the Board of Directors feels he should back off this committee and not accept it, he would do so.

However, he said his first initial job, he thought, was to help continue on in Al Hart's footsteps and I guess he was pleased by the letter that they just wanted somebody and that he did not know we had appointed anybody or were going to appoint anybody.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: In other words, they sent him a letter?

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, and a second letter and he said that Walt Byers said he would like him to be on the committee. He said, "Well, I cannot personally and I am not speaking for the Board, but I cannot see where if they want to put you on a committee you have to say "no, you cannot be on it as long as you know and understand you do not represent NATA."

I further told him that I did not know what the Board action was going to be but if they don't accept John Wells, our appointee, we may not appoint anybody but if we do not appoint anybody, or if we do, any expenses he incurs are on his own and he does not represent us. Again he said that if the Board felt he should not do this, in the interest of NATA, he would gladly resign from the committee.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Does anybody have anything to say?

MR. CROWL: Wells is our representative, is that correct?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes.

MR. CROWL: Is Donnelly on this committee?

MR. SHERIDAN: No.

MR. CROWL: And whether or not John Wells is accepted by the NCAA, he is still Chairman of our Drug Committee?

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes.

MR. CROWL: I was wondering about the possibility of Donnelly being a member of their committee, or is that too complicated?

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't know if he wants to get into that position because of their asking him and they are liable to take it the wrong way.

MR. LANE: If we did that, it would be a definite concession to the NCAA.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: If we put him on our Drug Committee?

MR. LANE: I think it would be a weakening of our position with the NCAA.

MR. FLENTJE: How was he contacted initially?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: By letter.

MR. FLENTJE: I did not have an idea as to how his name got to the NCAA.

MR. SHERIDAN: I stand to be corrected but I think he may have been working on a subcommittee or something along with Al Hart. I don't know but I believe Al had his name connected with the Drug Education Committee.

MR. DAVIS: Al had no members of his committee. It was Al alone.

MR. SHERIDAN: I did not know whether he did



or not.

MR. SMITH: Was this all before the NCAA contacting our people? In other words, his contact from the NCAA was before our letters that were sent to you?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. SMITH: This still occurred afterwards?

MR. DAVIS: This still occurred after January.

MR. SMITH: After their people contacted and we made our recommendation in January?

MR. DAVIS: Right.

They had asked for a recommendation and it still occurred after that.

MR. SMITH: I have another question.

I believe it was mentioned on Friday that we were going to try to contact Mr. Myers.

MR. DAVIS: He is out of town.

MR. SMITH: There were no contacts made?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: He is out of town.

MR. SHERIDAN: I think in relation to the letter of January 25, which was after our mid-year Board meeting, in the last column, he says, "I suggest you give me a call immediately and submit a nomination." This is something we had already done two weeks before.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think here that the misunderstanding was within their organization. In other words, one of them went to seek out Phil to be on the Committee and then Dr. Coombs came to us suggesting we recommend someone and then when it came time for that particular committee, Walter Byers to take the recommendation

of Dr. Coombs, he just felt he wasn't going to do it -- he was going to take what we selected. However, I am guessing, but, nevertheless, it seems like a big misunderstanding.

MR. CROWL: The letter that Fran is referring to says "I cannot assure you that this will be approved."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We knew that when we nominated Al. I think they are very much aware within their committee of the power Walter Byers has.

Now, is there any action needed from the Board of Directors on this particular matter?

Would anybody like to speak to it? Is there any action that is required other than what we said the other day -- we now have no active representation?

MR. SHERIDAN: On the follow-up letter from Byers, on April 25, it says, "the committee to which you have been assigned is an NCAA Committee.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Right.

MR. SHERIDAN: "And we determine membership on NCAA Committees."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Which, of course, we know.

MR. MELHART: I want to be sure that Phil understands that he doesn't represent our organization but, other than that, why should we penalize him or make him get off the committee?

MR. SMITH: Will there still be communication between these two men, our Chairman and, if nothing comes up, also Phil?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I am sure you will know where we stand. We reviewed the correspondence from them the other day.

Now, on that basis, is everybody satisfied



with our discussion up to now and the results of it?

MR. SHERIDAN: I would like the Board as a group to let Mr. Donnelly know or have me instructed to tell him that he is not representing us and in the event they do not accept John Wells, he just does not speak in any way for the NATA or should not carry anything back to us where we are going to, for example, butter them up or anything like that. I think he is just another member and that is all. He forgets the NATA hat when he walks in there.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is right. Very well.

MR. SHERIDAN: Do you want me to do that?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes.

Now, I think we need something from the Membership Committee. Bruce, do you have something for approval?

MR. MELIN: I did not get a chance to duplicate this but we had a meeting yesterday of the members and I wrote this. However, it is essentially what we talked about and so I will read this. It is not very long.

It states:

When certification was established by the NATA, all those who held active membership as of December 31, 1969, were certified under the "Grandfather" clause.

Previous National Membership Committees recommended certification of a few persons after December, 1969, because some of the persons requesting consideration really deserved certification because of extenuating circumstances.

Since June, 1972, the Membership Committee established the policy that it (The Committee) would not

recommend the granting of certification under the "Grandfather" clause after that time. At its meeting today the Committee reaffirmed the policy established in 1972.

No recommendations for changes in membership classes or related matters are made at this time.

The Committee members requested complete information on membership classes and qualifications for membership be sent to committee members. The Chairman will do this.

The Chairman requests authorization to submit moderate expenses for postage and copying related to Committee communications.

MR. SHERIDAN: Mr. Chairman, being as there is no action in connection with this particular report, I move that we accept the report of the Membership Committee.

MR. MELIN: Let me stop at this point and say that in the communication that we would try to make all the membership activities uniform throughout all the districts and after we complete the membership qualifications, then I will confer with Otho and we will send a uniform computation to all Directors, secretaries and membership committee members.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there a second to the motion to accept the report?

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

MR. MELIN: In view of the approval of the Constitutional change regarding classes of members, the



eight classes are now in the Constitution and these are certified associate, retired, student, affiliate, advisory, allied, and honorary.

Otho has indicated that it would be desirable to indicate the code number in a slightly different way so there would not have to be an office record change of the student code number.

Therefore, the certified would be number one, associate would be number two, retired would be number three. That takes the place of the old inactive. Student would be number four, affiliate would be number five, advisory would be number six, allied would be number seven and honorary would be number eight.

That is in accordance with the mechanics as recommended.

Now, the change in the Bylaws then becomes necessary because of the approval of the Professional Education Committee's pilot study unit arrangement is that in the Bylaws, at present, the "Maintenance of Certification" states -- the minimum number of continuing professional education units being accumulated every three years shall be at least twice the number of units awarded for attendance at the NATA Annual Meeting.

Now, according to their way of wishing to do it in the pilot study, this is not in confirmation because they want to have nine units and give two units for each annual meeting. Therefore, there would have to be a change there to be in conformity with that which you passed on last Friday.

MR. SHERIDAN: Do we have to change this, it being a pilot study?

MR. MELIN: It will not be in conformity with the Bylaws and somebody might bring that up because this is a more lenient way.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Maybe it should not even

be in the Bylaws at all.

MR. MELIN: That is possible.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You should delete it.

MR. MELIN: However, we have to do something with it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We are looking for a motion to delete it from the Bylaws.

MR. MELIN: Hold on just a moment. I think it ought to be deleted in either conjunction with the continuing professional educational units required for continuance of service being in conformity with the pilot study approved. That would be a kind of temporary thing.

Now, before we get through with this, I think there was some discussion about the continuation or qualifications for membership in the associate class. Remember now, this is the class in which the individual has to have at least two years of college work and he has to have professional education units for continuing eligibility to maintain this membership class.

It states in the present Bylaws that to be eligible for continuance of associate membership, a person must accumulate, every three years at least one-half of the minimum continuing professional education units required for maintaining certification.

Now, I am not sure what the pilot study said about that -- whether they were going to be the same as for certification or whether they were going to be in conformity with the Bylaws and be less for maintaining associate membership or certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Can you help us with that, Dick?

Did they not ask for the same thing for the



Associate as for the Certified?

MR. MELHART: The same thing, yes.

MR. MELIN: Then we would have to delete this.

MR. DAVIS: Under "Associate", the second paragraph should be deleted.

MR. MELIN: I suppose that in the provisions for maintaining membership that the change in the Bylaws could be that for the duration of the pilot study provisions for maintaining certification will be as proposed and approved by the Professional Education Committee. The same thing could be for the Associate Membership. Would that be satisfactory?

MR. DAVIS: We are still talking about a pilot program not yet developed or gotten off the ground and put into operation.

I think it is confusing to some people when they read that classification and see all of this in here as something we don't have. This should be what is governing the entire organization.

I think the proper thing to do is to make a modification in relation to Article XXVI under Section 1 -- your membership class to coincide as it is presently operative and at the present time forget about your continuing education until this pilot program is actually put into effect.

MR. DAVIS: I think we should operate it under a set of Bylaws that are concurrent to the existing situation. We cannot operate now on something in the future.

MR. MELIN: Then do you think we would need to have a provision for maintaining certification?

MR. DAVIS: The provision for maintaining certification should be in the Board of Directors at

the present time.

MR. MELIN: What specifications for maintaining certification should there be? This is something that the Board has to decide and I can write it if we know what the wishes are.

MR. DAVIS: To remain certified, well, I think you have to rely there on your Certification Committee -- that he has the provisions to take the examination.

MR. MELIN: We are talking about the individual who is now certified and maintaining eligibility to remain certified. Under the present Bylaws, the "actively engaged" was thrown out last year and so that is not a provision of the Bylaws any more.

The provision of the Bylaws anticipated that some record of a person attending conventions, clinics, workshops would be made and that this would be the way in which a person would be mainly eligible to maintain certification.

MR. DAVIS: Then you could leave in "units of continuing professional education shall be defined as designated by the Professional Education Committee and approved by the Board of Directors."

MR. CROWL: Why don't you put in there "under the pilot program" or something like that.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we can all agree we are going to probably keep in some type of continuing professional education. Therefore, there is probably no need to go through a lot of work changing that particular statement.

We can probably have the statement as Otho indicated, "approved by the Board of Directors" and then don't show anything about how much they are going to need and we all understand that it is under a pilot study now.



MR. SHERIDAN: Are you going to delete that then, Bruce?

MR. MELIN: It becomes inconsistent with all of this other material.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We should have nothing in there.

MR. MELIN: But then you have removed any regulation or maintenance of certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: But right now we really don't have maintenance.

MR. MELIN: If that is acceptable, then that is all right. However, we have to understand that you will have no control.

MR. DAVIS: You will have no control over the "actively engaged".

MR. MELIN: Now, the Professional Education Committee, as I understand it is going to come in and they want to get approval of their budget in order for them to do this. If they don't get approval of the budget, then they will not be able to maintain the record or program that they have planned.

MR. SHERIDAN: Could you not end this by saying "approved by the Board of Directors" and delete the rest of that? In other words, delete the next three paragraphs and still leave this in it? After all, "actively engaged" says nothing about continuing education.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: These are governing the body right now and right now there is nothing that you have to do to maintain certification other than pay your dues, is there?

MR. MELIN: With this, but we don't define the thing as yet. We don't have any way of defining this.

MR. SHERIDAN: In other words, it does not govern because we do not have it.

MR. MELIN: It implies that we will have it.

MR. DAVIS: Then I want to leave it as it is.

MR. SHERIDAN: As long as they are not in violation of anything, leave it as it is.

MR. CROWL: Leave it as it is and put "pilot study" in there so that the language clarifies it is not concrete.

MR. DAVIS: It might be best. It gives us complete protection of this crowded area of "actively engaged" again. If you ever get into that situation again, now you have something to back you up. It is something that is already in existence, something that you do not have to put in later.

MR. MELIN: My only apprehension is that is inconsistent with what you approved for the pilot study.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes it is.

MR. MELIN: But, really, I think there needs to be something in there because we are going to have a problem with people, I think, not really wanting continuation of certification.

MR. SHERIDAN: The only thing that really spells out any amount of units is that second paragraph there, where it says "minimum number of units shall be accumulated". Nowhere else does it say anything about the amount of units or anything else.

Just leave that one sentence out.

MR. MELIN: Maybe it will come out all right anyway. It says "to be accumulated every three years shall be at least twice the number of units".



If the pilot study says more than twice, that is all right, so this would be the minimum, but they could make it more. Therefore, that is all right.

MR. SHERIDAN: It will be more.

MR. MELIN: Leave it the way it is now even though it is recognized it is a little bit sticky and then what do you want to do about it?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Before we get off of this particular page we are talking about, I believe that last full paragraph should probably be deleted because it had a May 30th date on it. Are we still taking applications from those people?

MR. MELIN: That doesn't make any difference. That is the date after which it is not going to be possible for people to do this.

Then what do you want to say about the qualifications for maintenance of associate membership -- shall be stated as to be the same for maintaining certified membership?

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes, that doesn't change.

MR. CROWL: It says in here it has.

MR. MELIN: We have to change paragraph 2 of the qualifications for membership or associate class, just to say "in order to be eligible for continuance of associate membership, a person must ..."

MR. SHERIDAN: It doesn't say there what the amount of units are.

It says here at least half for certification and I believe you want to take that out -- "to maintain continuance of associate membership a person must accumulate the same number of continuing professional education units as required for maintenance of certification."

MR. MELIN: If you leave the second paragraph of "certified" in, then it is in accordance with that.

MR. SHERIDAN: However, it doesn't indicate any amount of units. It is just a half of what the other is going to be.

MR. MELIN: Do you want it to be half or the same?

MR. LEE: I believe that Bud wants it the same.

MR. SHERIDAN: I am sorry.

MR. MELIN: He wants it the same. That is what the pilot study calls for and that is what you approved before -- the same number of units.

Therefore, we will have to change paragraph 2 in relation to "Associate Membership" to read the same as for maintenance of certification.

MR. LEE: I believe we passed that on Saturday.

MR. MELIN: You did not change the Bylaws. You just approved the pilot study.

MR. DAVIS: Read the way it goes:

"To be eligible for continuance of associate membership the person must accumulate, every three years, the same number of continuing professional education units required for maintaining certification."

MR. SHERIDAN: That is, cross out "at least one-half" and put in "same".

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Does this take a Bylaw change? I am not so sure we are right making a Bylaw change on something that is governing the Association, are we?



MR. LEE: At this time, why are we getting on the bandwagon and changing it?

MR. MELIN: Because it is inconsistent with what you have approved for the continuing education.

MR. LEE: We approved it but we still have not proven we are going ahead. You don't have to change something before you find out if it is going to work or not.

MR. LANE: We approved that for the pilot condition.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: A mistake was made putting this into the Bylaws. We made a mistake and so are we going to correct it or leave it as a mistake?

MR. LEE: Why do you have to change this now? There is no reason for changing it.

MR. MELIN: Because the Bylaws really have priority over the operation of some temporary type of thing. You have to operate in accordance with the Bylaws and if at all possible there should not be anything inconsistent with anything else that you have involved here.

MR. LEE: However, that whole paragraph is inconsistent because we are not operating that way now.

MR. DAVIS: Do you want to table this until this afternoon or later on?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Let's not go through it all again.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let's settle it one way or the other.

MR. FLENTJE: What are we inconsistent with?

MR. MELIN: You are inconsistent with what you approved for the professional education committee.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We are inconsistent in that we put something in the Bylaws that we should not have put in there.

MR. MELIN: However, it also says that if you don't have anything like this in the Bylaws you have no control over maintenance of certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Which we don't.

MR. MELIN: But you want to have it. Therefore, you ought to make provisions for enforcing it in some way.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think it probably should be consistent with the pilot study. Whatever it says, that should be consistent with the pilot study.

MR. MELIN: It will be because the first paragraph says at least twice the number of units. They want more than twice. They want nine acquired, which is three and a half times and the real inconsistency is that you approved the maintenance of associate membership requiring the same kind of professional education unit accumulation as the maintenance of certification does. Therefore, this is really inconsistent.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Therefore, are we looking for a motion to have Bruce write up a proposal for the Board for the Bylaws to be consistent with the pilot study?

MR. MELIN: Either that or make it as we read it just a moment ago and leave all of the rest of it in because the rest of it is all right because the approval you gave to the Continuing Professional Education Committee is greater than the minimum you have specified. Therefore, that is all right.

MR. SHERIDAN: What we are doing is changing one word.

MR. BRUCE: All you are doing is changing "one-half" to "same".



It reads "A little bit greater. Therefore, we are making, in reality, a policy change. However, you don't have to make a policy change.

MR. DAVIS: You can scratch "at least one-half the minimum" and insert "the same number of" continuing professional education units for maintaining certification.

MR. SMITH: As I get it, you scratch out five words and add four others.

MR. DAVIS: It is "the same number of" and then you take out "at least one-half the minimum".

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That makes it what it is on the pilot study.

MR. MELIN: That is consistent with the pilot study, yes. It doesn't specify the number of units.

MR. SMITH: Until the pilot study is completed, then we change the thing back.

MR. SHERIDAN: I make a motion we approve the Bylaws change.

MR. CROWL: Second the motion.

MR. SMITH: Let me make sure I have the Bylaw things down the way they are supposed to be -- certified, associate, retired, student, affiliate, advisory, allied and honorary, is that it?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is it.

MR. DAVIS: We have 20 members in the inactive group that we have to reclassify. We will get to that later also.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are we looking for a Bylaw change here?

MR. MELIN: A Bylaw change in the coding of

the membership.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there a motion?

MR. SHERIDAN: I made the motion.

MR. CROWL: I seconded the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor raise their right hand; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

Now, gentlemen, we have with us Dr. Samuel Fennig of the American College Health Association. He would like to talk to us on the new policy that the ACHA has established of allowing certified athletic trainers to become members of the American College Health Association.

We welcome you here, Doctor Fennig, and you now have the floor.

DR. SAMUEL FENNIG: Thank you very much.

First let me say that I appreciate the opportunity of being able to come before the Board here. I certainly want to extend our congratulations from our association on your 25th anniversary. It is a great accomplishment.

We have been in our Athletic Medical Section of the American College Health Association very much interested in providing support in relation to standards and certification of trainers and the objectives of achieving, I should say, a higher standard of operation. I am sure we are all very much concerned with it.

I also think we are looking forward to attempting to work closer together in relation to interpreting ways and means of how this might be done.

I think that the Section did take action at the last meeting to invite trainers to attend if they so wished and also become members if they so desired.



I also realize, of course, that this is a two-way street -- that we want trainers and physicians probably should also possibly attend your meetings here.

We have been very active in the Joint Commission and I think we are also interested in the potential possibility of having the Joint Commission meet at the time of the Annual Meeting of the Trainers rather than at the NCA<sup>A</sup> meeting and we would be interested to find out from the NATA if the Commission could not meet at the time of the meeting of the Trainers.

Also, we have had a meeting of physicians at the time of the NCA<sup>A</sup> meeting for several years but, again, we are giving consideration to the possibility of changing that meeting with the physicians and trainers. However, it has turned out to be a type of meeting where apparently it is difficult to break away at this time but we have given possibility to having that meeting scheduled at the time of the Annual Meeting of the NATA. As a matter of fact, the section has taken positive action in that direction.

Therefore, we would appreciate any thoughts or response from your Board insofar as that goes.

The Commission is very desirous of working with the trainers in attempting to establish ways and means of where they want to go with them as a group.

Again, my congratulations on your great achievement and we certainly look forward to closer working relationships.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: This meeting you are talking about, does it involve clinical sessions that would be put on by the NATA?

How many members do you think it would involve? The reason I am asking this question is, as you can see in this hotel, we are beginning to meet space problems that are turning out to be incredible.

DR. FENNIG: These are just exploratory thoughts right now and I thought we were just feeling our way along.

We have had these meetings with the NCAA and they never seem to be productive. The suggestion was made to have it here.

The papers, I think, would be clinical. A lot of them would be researched oriented. We have attempted to have that meeting more on research orientation than clinical because, in your case, you already have clinical papers.

However, as I said, these are just exploratory thoughts and I think what we are looking for is maybe some feedback from your organization as to what you think of the idea -- whether it is a good or bad idea.

MR. DAVIS: What has been the average attendance, let us say, in the past years. This first started, as I understand it, in Houston, did it not?

DR. FENNIG: There have not been too many, some 25 or 30.

MR. DAVIS: The smallest group that I can recall has never been over 30.

DR. FENNIG: It has been a very, very small group.

MR. DAVIS: What have they done in the past?

I remember one particular meeting in Houston when you had view boxes on the wall -- that if they had a particular case they wanted to discuss with this group, a particular type of fracture or something, you used the view boxes to review the film. As I understand it, they were all invited to bring X-rays for discussion of any particular problems the physician and athletic representative of these groups had.

DR. FENNIG: That is right and, of course,



it has been a very good group.

I think that we were thinking maybe originally that we could provide to some athletic directors and representatives, probably some of the individuals from the other athletically related associations, some of this clinical information. There has been some of this and we feel there has been a kind of working relationship evolved with them. We are looking at all of the ramifications of this. We are merely sharing with you some of our ideas.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Speaking for the organization, Doctor, we are very pleased with your accepting us as members and are really honored and we are pleased with how much we get from your particular group. We feel we have a very close working relationship. Dr. Cooper, for example, has been very close with us.

DR. FENNIG: Most of our team physicians have excellent relationships with the trainers.

For example, we now see new allied health professionals coming on the scene -- physician assistants, nurse-practitioners and in one sense we already have a trainer who is already, you might say, a specialist in his own field and own right and we hope that the trainer can assume that position there because in many respects he is probably as good if not better than any of the other allied health professions in taking care of some of these things.

There are many outlets that trainers could move into, large clinics, etcetera, particularly if the government comes through with the HMO development and health insurance. There are other avenues for trainers.

MR. DAVIS: We have a copy of the Joint Commission Report, their past meeting of January 6th, 1974, and there are three of your members listed there.

Now, can you bring us up to date. Are there

going to be any changes involved?

DR. FENNIG: Let me say that we have established a whole new system of rotation and the three of us on there were the Mental Health Three-Year Appointments. However, Dr. Coombs has gone on and Dr. Dick Schaara is now a member. Since Don Cooper has gone off the NCAA Committee and we did not want to lose his services, he has been given the whole field. I have voluntarily gone off in order to permit him to come on and so Don Cooper will be taking my place and then we will still have Doctor Paul Trikett. He will be going off after this year and will be replaced with one of the other team physicians.

MR. DAVIS: As it is, Dr. Schaara has a three-year appointment?

DR. FENNIG: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: And Dr. Cooper has three years?

DR. FENNIG: He will be two and he has been replaced. We wanted him to become active in the whole field and Dr. Paul Trickett will replace him.

MR. DAVIS: Then, in January of 1975, that will be Dr. Trickett's last term?

DR. FENNIG: Right. Dr. Schaara is Chairman of the Athletic Medical Section also and he will be here this afternoon.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are there further questions?

If not, let me say that I am going to be meeting with Dr. Schaara and Dr. Fennig right after the clinical sessions are over this afternoon, if we are done in here. If not, we are going to make other provisions but, okay, Doctor, thank you very much.

MR. DAVIS: The reason as to why this Joint Commission left us was the NCAA. They did not want to



duplicate travel expenses of their representatives by coming to our Convention when they were having their own and so this group decided "all right, we will meet." They were meeting two times a year here and the NCAA convinced them they should only meet one time a year and it would be at their convention and in January.

Then, College Health would like to come back to this group. The High School Federation, they very seldom attend a meeting anyway. The Junior Colleges, they have one representative at the meeting and then it is believed that if we can get the Junior College Representatives swayed to our side, we would have a deciding vote to bring the Joint Commission Meeting back to the NATA in June instead of meeting January with the NCAA. Also, we think we can increase support by having the NAIA involved, which they were not. The NAIA had requested membership in the Joint Commission and the High School Federation were not represented at the meeting. In fact, they had already sent in their check and application but the High School Federation was not in attendance and requested to vote by mail and there was a vote against it -- in other words, you had to be present to vote on the Joint Commission.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You know, if your members who are eligible can afford it, we should encourage them to join the American College of Health. It involves special dues for a trainer of \$20 a year.

MR. DAVIS: There is one other point of interest here and that is that I can possibly foresee, maybe in the future, by being a little more allied with your American College Health group and having closer relationships with these people, this will add to your own employment protection. Some schools are already going to the arrangement, for example, where the Trainer is under the University Health Services and not under the Athletic Department.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It gives us quite a feeling of security, I will tell you, to know that if your coach gets fired, no matter who the new coach coming in is, you will still be there. I think the fellows in

that situation like it. I don't know of anyone in that situation who doesn't like it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there anything more that needs to be done in relation to this matter?

MR. MELIN: We will get the wording.

MR. DAVIS: I have one more item before we break for lunch.

This communication I have is addressed to the Board of Directors and is from the Chairman of the Ethics Committee and the subject is "Change in Code".

"It is the recommendation of the Committee that the following sentence be added to Section 2, Testimonials and Endorsements:" Now, if you will look in your brown book, Section 2, this will be on page 5. It is Article II. They request that this language be added:

"The NATA name or additional insignia may not be used in any endorsements or testimonials by individual members of the Association."

You may want to use the words "insignia", "logo" or "trademark". I am sure we can work that out.

MR. CROWL: Will you read that again?

...Whereupon, the proposed recommendation as above indicated, was reread...

MR. DAVIS: We have another one coming up for the new patch or insignia on Certification.

Let's put in "insignia and/or logo may not be used in any endorsements or testimonials by individual members of the Association."

Again, it is the recommendation of the Ethics Committee that the following sentence be added



to Section number 2, Testimonials and Endorsements:

"NATA name or additional insignia and/or logo may not be used in any endorsements or testimonials by individual members of the Association."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: By any individual member or group of members of the Association?

There is a group of members, have you heard about that?

There is some group that signed a contract with some firm that they are going to endorse different products of the professional athletic trainers association.

MR. DAVIS: Well, as long as they don't use our name or logo you can endorse anything you want to, if you are using your own name, up to a certain point.

MR. MELIN: Perhaps the words "or group of members" should be included.

MR. DAVIS: "By individual members or groups of members of the Association".

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there any discussion? Would anybody like to speak for or against it?

MR. DAVIS: That came in apparently yesterday or something. Somebody had a little brochure on a little hand squeezed rotator or "pull" or something from District Number 4 and it was given to him at that time. I think that a member of District number 4 gave it to him.

MR. LEWELLYN: With regard to the word "Association", what does that mean? Does that need to be spelled out a little bit further?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Individual members of the NATA. Just put "NATA" in there.

Does anybody here have anything to say?

Does anybody want to make a motion?

MR. FLENTJE: I would move that we accept the recommendation.

MR. CROWL: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have before you a motion to accept the recommendation. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed?

The motion is carried.

MR. LANE: I have a unique problem here which probably I should not bring up as a Board member but I am associated with the Southwest and we have an advertising brochure and, when I explain this to you, it might put a little different light on it.

For example, in connection with my own name and my description, I do not have any reference to NATA but I have a few other people that work for me in it and in describing them it says "Member, Southwest Trainer's Association". Would this be in violation?

MR. DAVIS: It certainly would.

MR. MELIN: Yes.

MR. LANE: However, it wasn't when we printed these was it?

MR. MELIN: The question arises whether the Board wants the Code of Ethics to make it unethical for a person to indicate his membership in the Association in relation to any endorsements he makes.

MR. DAVIS: That is what it boils down to. It



is not a matter of the Board endorsing it. It is the individual endorsing it and then it says "A member of the NATA or a graduate of Duke University".

PRESIDENT GEORGE: For example, in the medical doctor endorsements, you never see the words "Member of the American Medical Association".

MR. CROWL: All he would have to do would be to put in there "certified athletic trainer".

MR. MELIN: If you said it, that would not be a violation.

MR. DAVIS: "Certified Athletic Trainer", because that is your title and there is only one body that certifies an athletic trainer.

Now, there is also another difference involved here. I think in relation to the listing, let us say if it was a biographical sketch for an individual, that is another category.

MR. MELIN: If there was a biographical sketch of an individual on a brochure and an endorsement, that would apply.

MR. DAVIS: Naturally, in relation to a biographical sketch, you are going to list your memberships, education and the like.

MR. CROWL: Why did this come to the Ethics Committee?

MR. DAVIS: Well, in this particular case, the individual in violation had your logo on his shirt.

MR. CROWL: They are not advertising anything, are they?

MR. MELIN: I don't believe it would be called that endorsement. There are all kinds of instances in which there may be involved borderline situations and, therefore, the question is to state it in such a way

that we would really distinguish between the thing you have on that brochure and indicating the person's membership in the professional organization and also in relation to which the insignia or logo was put in there in such a way as to imply a relationship between the NATA and the product mentioned. This would be very difficult.

MR. DAVIS: How is it in relation to the APTA?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You are not allowed to sell anything -- not allowed to endorse anything. You are not allowed to sell anything for profit. You are not allowed to do any of this.

That whole section 2 in NATA would be a violation of their Code of Ethics.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Therefore, it comes down to the fact that in relation to an endorsement, for example, should a trainer be allowed to use the name of the organization.

MR. DAVIS: They say "National Athletic Trainers Association" or "Southwest" or both. If he has just stated that he is an Athletic Trainer, then the situation is between you and him as to how you want that name to be used.

MR. MELIN: In the final analysis, you just cannot endorse anything.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes, you cannot endorse or sell anything. However, Bruce, what happens, for example, if you have a picture of an individual? He certainly has to be careful he doesn't have that NATA logo on his shirt or anything.

Now, there is a motion that we adopt this recommendation and we have already had some discussion. Is there more discussion?



MR. JORDAN: Is there a particular date on which this would be effective?

In other words, in Eddie's case, what we are saying is that he is in violation as soon as we pass this vote.

MR. DAVIS: When you pass this, you also have to have an understanding, in relation to those already printed, you are not in violation.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Again, we have a motion and a second. Are we all done with our discussion?

MR. CROWL: I would like to make an amendment -- that not just in Eddie's case, but in any literature that is printed they be allowed to at least finish it out.

MR. MELIN: That should be the interpretation of the additional statement, I believe, and not put into the Code of Ethics. So, if you would do it in not amending the Code but after the amendment is approved or disapproved, then you can indicate it as an interpretation insofar as Board action is concerned.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor of the original motion kindly raise your hands; I count nine hands in favor. How about those against? Eddie's hand is up against. The motion is to be carried.

MR. CROWL: Now I would like to offer this as a statement or motion -- namely that all the literature that has been printed to date be allowed to be dispersed until it runs out.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I will consider that a motion. Is there a second?

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All those in favor of this motion indicate by saying "aye"; opposed "no". Let the record show it is unanimously carried.

Now, at this time, I believe we should break for luncheon and so we will have our luncheon in the next room and then reassemble here at approximately one-thirty.

...Whereupon, at twelve-thirty o'clock p.m., the meeting was recessed for luncheon...

-----



## TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

June 11, 1974

The Meeting of the Board of Directors was reconvened at one-thirty-five o'clock p.m., Mr. Frank George, President, presiding.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Gentlemen, I believe we are ready to convene. Will you all be seated.

Now, I believe we are ready to discuss the budget of the Education Committee and I will recognize you, Bud.

First of all, do you have anything else you desire to bring up in relation to the work of the Education Committee?

MR. MILLER: No. We had a meeting between ourselves and we talked about the \$50 application and that hit quite hard.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Who did you talk with, people applying for membership?

MR. MILLER: We had people with curriculums still working on them and some said that this would be hard in connection with the systems being followed. Therefore, perhaps it is a little too soon to act on this. However, that indicates just a little bit of the feedback we had. I don't think we should jump at something like that until we hear more about it and get more details on it.

Now, with regard to this budget matter, the last time we talked about it there were such things mentioned as an honorarium and, of course, as I previously indicated, that is one item that can be cut down. Also, in talking to Otho here, maybe we can put some of these things into one lump sum figure and work it out that way.

In other words, in connection with a lot of these smaller items, maybe we could put it into one lump sum.

However, two items that we can cut down quite a bit on is the Honorarium and the matter of publications because we haven't gotten that far as yet.

On that basis, we are getting down to about the \$1,000 bracket but the rest of that money we definitely need now.

Also, along with it, perhaps we could get approval to seek out a commercial concern to get a grant and then, if that happens, we may be able to get a lot of these other things taken care of. It would also cut down on our meeting time, like at the mid-year meeting and that type of thing, which would give us one big session and we can get a lot of things out of the way.

MR. DAVIS: Your commercial concern grant, that would do away with your mid-year meeting?

MR. MILLER: It possibly could. It depends on when we can get the conference. That would be the thing. If it is before, that would be fine but if after, we might still have to have it, I am not sure.

We would like to have that and really get that going, if possible. I think that would be one way to straighten out a lot of our present problems. We have a lot of things piled up and so if we can get somebody to help us in having a conference and have the right people there to form some of these things out and use them for that purpose, it would help.

MR. SHERIDAN: What are you talking about insofar as a lump sum is concerned, Bud?

MR. MILLER: Well, maybe it would end up around \$8,000.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You could do it with \$8,000?



MR. MILLER: I think so.

MR. LEE: What is the soonest you think you could have that conference?

Do you think it could be by the end of this summer?

MR. MILLER: I talked it over with my own people and the problem is there is some teaching this summer and it is going to be hard to get maybe a couple of them together. We are also not certain with regard to running into football and this type of thing. However, we will have to work on that.

MR. LEE: If somebody did give you the money for it, could you move on it immediately or would you have to wait?

MR. MILLER: Well, we don't know what somebody is going to do for us. That is another thing.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand it, from the figures that we have been looking at, at this point, basically what Bud is now asking for is a figure of \$8,000.

MR. DAVIS: And also permission to seek approval for an Education Conference.

MR. MELHART: I would make a motion that the budget of \$8,000 be granted and that he be authorized to seek a professional education conference.

MR. SHERIDAN: I will second the motion.

MR. FLENTJE: My first question here is whether or not we can afford the \$8,000.

MR. DAVIS: We will find a way to afford it. This is very important.

From my experience in relation to other things, if you have a lump sum to operate with, it makes it a

little bit easier than trying to jockey things around. There may be things in there that he doesn't need or can cut back on and we have him bound down pretty tight here.

One of the other things talked about was secretarial help. This was a rate that he had out at his place but there might be other avenues.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have before you the motion and the second, which is to establish the \$8,000 budget for this Committee, as well as to authorize this educational conference. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor raise your hands; opposed by the same sign. It is unanimously carried.

Now, I have a question for you and I have not approached the Board on this as yet. This really, however, includes your committee, all committees, but you and Lindsay are the most important and I wanted to talk to both of you.

We have been asked to make a lot of decisions here without really having time to study them as completely as we would like. Take for instance this particular committee, other than budgeting, if this proposal were put off for a decision until the January Board Meeting and then, at the Mid-Winter Meeting it was put off until June, would that hurt your Committee or affect you very much. I am asking if it would affect you very much if all of these decisions were basically put back six months, except for the budget and probably school approval, which would be another item.

MR. MILLER: Yes that would be another thing.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, I had reference to those two things. However, I would not say we have to be hard and fast to it. For example, in the past we have made mistakes, especially in relation to putting



some things in the Bylaws which, if we had gone at a slower pace, we would have earlier realized should not have been put in there. Also, at this meeting, we have been asked to read several pages in relation to suggested behavioral objectives and in ten minutes make a decision.

Now, what I am essentially wondering about is that if we slowed down this whole business by from six to seven months would it affect you and can you proceed?

MR. MILLER: I would say in most cases. You know, we spent a lot of time meeting on these various aspects. This is about the only time thus far that we have been able to get together and then in turn, we have stayed up to all hours of the night getting things out and even when I come here, I am not very sharp at times. As a result, sometimes I am not able to get out a good, clear picture to the Board in relation to some of these things.

I think, however, what you have suggested is a fair request, especially my having been on this Board because, from past experience, it is true, you as a Board just get things thrown at you and it is just at the last minute that you really start to read some of these things.

I will grant you that many of these are things that involve delicate problems and, also, that there are likewise some quick decisions I would have to ask for. At times, in connection with our work, we get problems that come in and require a quick decision and interpretation, especially when we might get ourselves into a legal bind holding back on it. That would be the only thing.

However, I think your suggestion is a good one. In our case, I feel we have been so darned swamped in trying to get things altogether, collated together, that we have not been able to do it through the mail.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Of course, I am not just speaking of this Committee -- getting it out to us, because they know we are going to act on it. If the feeling is we are not going to act right, then, anyway, we may again get it at our January meeting.

Of course, I realize that there is a stipulation in here to the effect that the President may ask for Committee Reports at any time he thinks they are necessary. I think that if we put a deadline on them that perhaps this would help. In other words, I think it is better for us when we get these things in the mail because then we have sufficient time to read them and study them, call a few people in the district and then come to the Board ready to make a decision. I think we do a lot better on that basis than if we are given something like this to act on in a hurry, we read it here and then make a quick decision.

In my estimation, I think this is something we should really think about.

MR. MILLER: Also, we do get some friction from the Committee.

For example, my members may say they understand it and yet when they look at it, you get friction back and forth. I think that extension would probably eliminate a lot of that also.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: After the first six-month delay, then it would really not be too bad. We would kind of be in a pattern. That is likewise what I think in relation to the mid-winter meeting.

Perhaps the Education Committee could meet sometime previous to that. It does not have to be, for example, the day before the meeting of the Board of Directors and stay up all night and that type of thing. Perhaps, however, they could meet sufficiently in advance to be able to have each Director send a report and then we, in turn, would have an opportunity of studying it and then intelligently voting on it when the time came for action.



MR. MILLER: I don't know, on the other hand, whether there is any way of declaring "emergency" items. I don't know how you are going to define that.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, I think we can let the Chairman decide that.

MR. DAVIS: In relation to the progress he is making, I would hate to see it set back six months or slowed down. That is my personal feeling.

I look at it this way -- that it is only human nature to put off things until the last minute and if you slow the whole thing down by a six-month schedule, you are going to fall right back into the same thing.

MR. LANE: I think that the load would be placed tremendously on us as Directors. If Bud does all of his work now and we don't act on it until January, we would have to certainly be aware of the fact we have a lot of homework to do in that six-month period.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, another aspect of this is that if you get a chance to study it and discuss it with your membership, we, in turn, can get a better general feedback from the membership and then, in turn, it will be the Director who has the monkey on his back to make the best possible use of that time limit.

MR. MILLER: Another thing is that it was nice to have Warren sitting in on our meeting. Perhaps we should have somebody from the Board sitting with us at almost any time. I know that is not a very good thing because you already are sitting here so long and have come down early and sat through other meetings. However, perhaps we could have somebody from the Board there to see what items are important and this may help the whole process along a little bit.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think it is better to have you come in here and explain it to us, Bud. If

somebody sits in there, they may get it messed up.

MR. MILLER: On the other hand, I myself can make an error.

MR. DAVIS: I want to know what the position is with Cocoa.

MR. MILLER: He said he has been ill and this type of thing and has secretarial problems. I think we have them straightened out again. I was ready to take the position away from him.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Does he know this, Bud?

MR. MILLER: Yes, he knows about it.

MR. DAVIS: Right now, for example, he is holding the strings. He has a very important position in relation to getting the computer service all set up for continuing education.

MR. MILLER: This is what he is doing. I think the thing he let slide this time was the clinics, workshops, etcetera. We had a lot of difficulty with this.

We tried to talk about what we were going to do and what we decided to do was that we were going to help them out by working through our own committees in each district and everybody handling that part of it so that this, in turn, would take a load off of him. We hope that that will do the job. He has good input to us and he is good for the Committee when they meet here like this. It has just been that part of it that has presented the difficulty.

I would say that if we cannot get the job done, then I would certainly have to release him and replace him. However, he has certainly done his legwork on the computer part and that is one saving thing. If we did not have the continuing education report, I would definitely ask for a change in this position.

MR. LEE: How soon is he going to be able to



crank that up? Are we going to be able to know something at the mid-year meeting?

MR. MILLER: We should have all the programming and forms ready to go by that time. He is going to go right back and work on the program.

MR. LEE: So that a year from now, we will have the pilot study done?

MR. MILLER: Well, we will have it going. We have had the pilot study approved and should have it going by this January so that we can get the material so that we have the print-out and get it out.

We have not worked out the mechanics as to whether it is going out with the dues, to get a form out so everybody would count their points and put them down in relation to what they desired credit for. If you had that, you could just put it into the computer and then it kicks back the points that person has.

MR. DAVIS: Another thing is that this morning we had a report from the Women's Committee. Would you, as Chairman, have any objection if you had a woman member?

MR. MILLER: No. As I said in my report, we are looking for one or two which would fit our needs. In relation to District No. 8 and District No. 5, this is where we are looking at it right now because we do not have a person right there.

In connection with District No. 8, I don't think we have, right at the moment, a certified girl there. In District No. 5, we just don't have anybody there.

However, that is the type of thing I am trying to work on. Also, I do not have the latest list of people or gals that are certified.

MR. DAVIS: I wanted to get some opinions.

Presently we have two committees who have women on them and, further, they made a request of us with regard to this matter.

MR. MILLER: Well, all I can say is that we are looking but we have not come up with anybody as yet.

I know that some of them are already on one or two committees.

MR. MELHART: Did I understand you to say that the workshop procedure was going to change?

MR. MILLER: You go through the people in your own District, you see them, they will have all the forms and we would like to work with them.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there anything else?

Your budget has been approved.

MR. MILLER: I have nothing further unless, of course, you have additional questions.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are there additional questions?

If not, thank you very much, Bud.

We now proceed to the report of the Public Relations Committee. This was tabled from our previous session.

I have received a proposal from the individual who is the Public Relations man for the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons. He runs a private firm over the telephone and he had given me a fee of about \$1,200 a year. The written proposal involves an amount between \$4,000 and \$12,000 a year.

Dick Malacrea from the Public Relations



Committee is going to make a study of the proposal and make recommendations to the Board of Directors on the way in which they may be able to get more professional help in the area of public relations.

MR. LEE: Where is that stamp at now? It was mentioned that District No. 9 had put in for a stamp. What is the deal on that or isn't there anything?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I was going to ask for that under "New Business" and expected District No. 9 to bring it up again.

MR. MELHART: I was told that Dick was going to present it today.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, here is the proposal.

They would like a stamp made containing the words "Athletic Training", a ten-cent stamp for mailing.

Now, the first proposal they made wasn't specific enough -- it did not say how much it would cost to have that stamp made and, further, there are artists fees involved and stamp die-making fees and things like this. Really, we don't have anything basic. All we have is a proposal to have a stamp made but not a proposal as to how much it is going to cost.

MR. MELHART: You don't have any of the details?

MR. DAVIS: You are here talking about the use of a government mailing stamp and, of course, you have to apply for these and it takes quite a while to get one of those. It takes a heck of a long time to approve them. As a matter of fact, Schering has been working on one for a very, very long time in relation to the pharmacists. You may recall seeing that particular one -- honoring, for example, the pharmacists association with a little plug.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Again, really, we have

nothing to say to the Board at this time other than it was proposed by one member of District No. 9 that we have a stamp made.

MR. DAVIS: We will go back to that member and follow through.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Of course, we have to find out the costs involved and get certain other information. I believe that is where we have to leave it stand at the present time.

Now, we proceed to the next item on the agenda having to do with the appointment of liaison representatives to allied organizations for 1974-1975.

Let me first say that there are very few changes, if any. I think we can leave it at that point.

The next item has to do with a discussion on USOU, Pan American, Olympic and International Games.

Otho, do you have that information?

Well, the other day you asked that you be presented the list that was sent to the Committee and they met this morning. Chuck has it all written out.

The first part, the typewritten part, has the names that have been submitted and the next part, the handwritten one, is those that were rejected. You might date this as of June, 1974.

MR. FLENTJE: Is this for public knowledge?

MR. DAVIS: I don't know. This was the list that was going to be sent to the USOC and which was to be sent to Dr. Handley.

The other day after the discussion, Bobby saw Chuck and told him what he was requesting and then what I have here is what we got back.

MR. FLENTJE: Will the head trainers be



picked from this list?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. FLENTJE: Will they be selected separately? How does that operate?

MR. DAVIS: The head trainer is selected by the Medical Services Committee. They have the prerogative of selecting the head trainer.

MR. FLENTJE: Are they generally taken from our list?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. SHERIDAN: I got some static from my gang on this again at our meeting the other day.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: What was that?

MR. SHERIDAN: The Pan American Games and selections sent to the International Games Committee. The name of Joe Abraham, for example, isn't even on our suggested list.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is that right? His name was not on the ballot?

MR. CROWL: I got the same thing. For example, my name was on here and it wasn't even sent in.

MR. SHERIDAN: I am glad that the May meeting is over until next year.

MR. SMITH: However, this does not mean these are the selected ones. These are just the ones being presented to Dr. Handley, is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: The ones in handwriting were the ones that were submitted.

MR. SMITH: However, they are not the ones necessarily selected now by the Committee -- they are

just the ones that will be submitted to the Committee?

MR. DAVIS: That is right. The Committee can select anybody.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I wonder how that could be. How can there be three names on there that really were not recommended?

MR. FLENTJE: How come Chuck wasn't here to present this?

MR. DAVIS: It is their prerogative to put any name on there they want.

MR. FLENTJE: However, Chuck could have told us why they did this.

MR. WHITE: Dr. Handley did not have anything to do with these. They met this morning and from there the list came up. The Committee met here to determine this.

Apparently there were names already submitted that he was aware of that came from Handley.

MR. WHITE: Not according to Chuck.

MR. SHERIDAN: Chuck and his Committee, they submitted this to Dr. Handley?

MR. WHITE: It has not gone there yet. These are names that are going to go to Handley. My understanding is that the Committee would review the names on the first sheet and make a selection from that.

MR. WHITE: I think this is what all of us understood.

MR. SHERIDAN: What is the use of our submitting a list if they can put their own names on there?

MR. LANE: Chuck is the Chairman of the International Games Committee of the NATA?



PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes, and he sits on a Committee of I don't know how many men, a secret Committee, and they select members to go on this list from the names that I thought were submitted to them.

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps, Fran, you ought to go out and see if you can find him and ask him to come in and request he discuss this matter with us.

MR. SMITH: Let me ask you this -- we had one suggested for the summer games but he was put on the Pan American Games. That is neither here nor there, is that right? They can throw them into any games they want? He was on our submitted list?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: In some cases, some people go to Chuck and tell him of a change but I don't know how to answer that.

However, let us wait until Fran gets back with Chuck, if he can find him.

While we are waiting, let us go to item No. 35 which has to do with "Minorities" in athletic training. I think this came from District No. 4 and I would like to know if they have a report to give on that at this time.

MR. WHITE: No.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: District 4 brought that up, or am I wrong?

MR. DAVIS: The only thing I received was in the notebook from Dick, "Minorities in Athletic Training", and I Xeroxed it and put it in the other notebooks.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you know anything about it?

MR. WHITE: Let me apologize for not having the report. There has been some conversation regarding

it but I am not presenting any report. However, I will endeavor to prepare something.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Mike Willie, who is from Michigan, spoke to me about it. I told him a firm proposal would have to be submitted before anything could be acted on. This has to do with ways of recruiting people from minority groups and ways of educating them.

I understand that there will be nothing further presented at this time.

Therefore, we will move to item number 46, which was previously tabled and had to do with a discussion of the George E. Fern Company and the resignation of Jim Bannister as Exhibits Manager.

MR. DAVIS: The only thing I have to say about that is that Jim Bannister, the former Exhibits Manager, resigned to go to work for a firm in Ohio, where he is now a part owner, which he was not in connection with the Fern Company.

We have a contract with the Fern Company which runs for one more year, through the California Convention. They are already working on it and the man taking his place is Mike Murray.

Thus far I have no complaints and everything seems to be going fine.

I would urge all of you to go through the exhibits, after we get out of here today, or at least make an effort to go through them and let our exhibitors know who you are and that they are welcome.

This is growing more and more each year and we would urge that you encourage them to come back.

Also, whether you find or get a lead on a possible new exhibitor, please let us know and the same applies to advertisers for the Journal.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: I am sure that in each of your individual localities, you have somebody that could be a possible Journal advertiser.

Now, the next item is that A. G. Edwards, the Placement Committee Chairman, is leaving the profession and going with a Company and so we need a new Chairman for that Committee. He has recommended Ron Poindexter as being the most active member of his Committee and someone who he thought could take over very well.

MR. DAVIS: He is at the University of Nevada at Las Vegas and he is on the Committee now.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We will all be working as show girls in a couple of years if he does the job right. (Laughter)

Therefore, I would like to make a recommendation to the Board that we approve Ron Poindexter as a replacement for Edwards.

MR. CROWL: I would so move.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed?

It is unanimously carried.

MR. CROWL: It seems to me that we ought to have a liaison representative with the Equipment Manufacturer's Association in order to keep it from going this way with them.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: They have requested that and they were going to make a proposal through Bud Miller's District. Do you have the proposal?

MR. MELHART: He has just talked to me very informally.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: This was an informal conversation. Therefore, it is coming. It doesn't matter now because we have no proposal, is that right?

MR. CROWL: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there any discussion in relation to this particular appointment?

MR. MELHART: Are they an Association yet?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes, they have a constitution and they are an Association. That is as of today.

MR. LEE: Did that not come out of what we talked about at our Board meeting on Saturday?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I had a long talk with Lackey and Otho also had a talk with them. He states they do not want to be in competition with us, they are not going to try to put their meetings with our meetings. What they would like, however, is a liaison representative to kind of guide them in order to make sure that everything is going along all right.

He suggested the name of Bud Miller to me and I said that would be impossible, that I would not ask Bud to do another thing because he is about flat out right now. I don't know, however, how the rest of you feel about that. I told him to ask for almost anybody else and I would probably say "yes". However, he did not have anyone else to recommend.

MR. DAVIS: I would like to see this develop but, on the other hand, I certainly would be opposed if their meeting was away from ours, at another location and time of the year, because then we would have to send representatives to them. I don't believe we are going to get that much in return from it. I don't think there is any way that they can help us.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I thought about it but, on



the other hand, do we just send our people to other groups that we are looking for something from?

For example, do we send them to the APTA, the Corrective Therapy Association, the Joint Committee and those types of groups?

Of course, I asked them how they felt about the same man being a liaison back and they did not go for that particular idea. I asked them, for example, about Skip.

MR. CROWL: He is a member of their organization?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes. I thought Skip would be perfect.

That is another thing -- anybody we try to send other than to medical organizations is a man or woman who is a member of that particular organization. Therefore, he is the only one we have that is a member with that organization.

I think, in relation to Bud, that he wanted him to kind of do some work for them, to kind of guide them and lead them. However, I thought that for me to ask Bud to do that for NATA, especially after all we are presently asking him to do, would not be fair to him.

MR. DAVIS: I think before you proceed with this we should get an official request from them -- let them write on an official letterhead requesting this liaison first.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think that would be a good idea. Very well.

We would like an official request to the Board of Directors asking for liaison representation from the NATA to the Equipment Manufacturer's Association.

MR. MELHART: I will do it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: And then we will decide on someone from our organization who is a member being the liaison, or anybody else who knows someone who is a member of that organization and who is a member of our organization. Skip I know is and that is about it.

MR. DAVIS: We have a resolution that comes to us from the NBA trainers. It is this (indicating) letter dated March 11, 1974.

MR. MELHART: He requested that it be put into the minutes.

MR. DAVIS: This is from the National Basketball Trainers Association and the subject is "Trainer qualifications".

"BE IT RESOLVED, that any trainer to be employed in the future by any National Basketball Association franchise meet the minimum requirements of being a Certified Athletic Trainer and certified by the NATA and/or a registered Physical Therapist licensed to practice in the State of said NBA franchise."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would not recommend that with the end of that sentence in there at all. You will make a request and then they will tell you half a story and then, when you ask them to put it in writing, things get to be quite different. They never, for example, even once mentioned the words "physical therapist" to me. However, with that particular handle on it I am not even going to let it go through. On the other hand, I don't even have a vote now, is that correct? (Laughter)

I have definite objection to just that last sentence you read there.

MR. DAVIS: Well, to settle the matter, you can tell them that the proposal submitted by the NBA Trainer's Association was rejected.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: And if he wants to know why, you can tell him why.

MR. DAVIS: You don't even have to put that part of it in.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think that the General Managers are having a meeting within the next two weeks and I think they are going to pass this and if they do, I think maybe a thank-you letter to them for recognizing the status of the Certified Athletic Trainer and the importance of a certified athletic trainer is fine.

There is nothing in the world, however, that says that a physical therapist knows a thing about athletic training.

MR. MELHART: I am surprised that Dick has anything to do with that, especially knowing a little bit of his past and some of his past feelings.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: When they talked to me, they told me how great the certified trainers were but, on the other hand, they never once mentioned the words "physical therapist". In fact, if they had, I would have let it be known that I was against a statement like that.

MR. JORDAN: It is possible that what he means here is that the individual is a certified athletic trainer who happens to be a physical therapist at this hour.

MR. MELHART: However, that's not what it says.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Has everybody had an opportunity to look at that?

Would someone like to make a motion either to approve it or we can look for a motion to not approve it.

MR. JORDAN: I make a motion we not approve

this the way it is written there.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

MR. DAVIS: This comes from District No. 3:

"The NATA strongly recommends insitutions of the NCAA be allowed to administer physical fitness tests the day before actual football practice begins or on the same day pictures and physical examinations are given. Physical fitness tests are extremely valuable to screen a possible candidate of (inaudible word) illness and injury."

MR. FENTJE: What do they want us to do?

MR. LEE: How does this read now?

How does the NCAA rule read now?

MR. LEWELLYN: It says now that they cannot.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The rule now is that you cannot give them any type of physical examination until the first day you are allowed to practice.

MR. LEE: A physical fitness test?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Well, that depends upon your definition of a physical fitness examination.

The way we did it was that our fitness examination was part of our physical examination. Our physical fitness was part of the physical examination. In the morning, for example, they would receive their complete physical and then in the afternoon they drew



equipment and in the late afternoon we drew a physical fitness examination consisting of push-ups, a rope climb or a mile run or what have you.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: This seems like a very specific problem.

I asked other members, at the business meeting, to speak either to it or for it and I don't know how many schools are having this trouble. Most of the ones I know say "the hell with it" and they give them the test at the time they give the physical examination and nobody complains about it. I don't know how the NCAA is going to feel about it.

MR. SMITH: I think it states that they cannot really...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Cannot what?

MR. SMITH: Give this physical fitness test.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It does state that -- that they cannot.

MR. SMITH: And even though you put it in like you are saying it right here, along with a part of the physical?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You are cheating if you do and we know that.

MR. LANE: Has there been a recent rule change?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think there has been a recent enforcement in the change.

MR. FLENTJE: I think we are asking for more trouble than we already have with them if we try to start telling them how to interpret their rules.

MR. DAVIS: You might want to refer this to

the NCAA Rules Committee, our representative, Warren Morrice, let him take it to their January Rules Committee Meeting.

MR. WHITE: Our District had voted its support of the District 3 recommendation and Pinky Newell brought out the fact that this might be a place to take this without having a whole bunch of irritation. He is on that Joint Commission and they meet in July.

If there were to be some action on the part of this Joint Commission, then it would not be us doing it.

MR. DAVIS: We will refer it to the Joint Commission.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is a good idea.

Are there any other comments in relation to this particular item?

MR. WHITE: Are we sending along any recommendations as a Board to the Joint Commission or are we just referring that problem?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As it is worded, we are recommending that the Joint Commission do something about the rules change.

MR. WHITE: Are we doing it as a Board?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is up to us to decide right now.

How do you feel about it, Dick?

MR. MELHART: I think I agree with what we are saying -- we ought to try to get it changed.

MR. SMITH: I agree with going to this Joint Commission with approval.



MR. CROWL: Yes.

MR. LEE: I would just as soon leave it the way it is.

MR. SMITH: Let me go back. Our District wanted to go on with District 3 but then, again, they did not want to stir up a can of worms with the NCAA.

MR. DAVIS: Let's go to the Joint Commission and discuss it there in January.

MR. FLENTJE: I would be in favor of taking it to the Joint Commission but I don't know that we should make any strong recommendations.

MR. LEWELLYN: Yes.

MR. SHERIDAN: We would like to see it go to the Joint Commission.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you think it is okay with your District.

MR. WHITE: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How about a motion?

MR. LEE: I would make a motion we send it to the Joint Commission, with our recommendation.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: With a recommendation this be accepted?

MR. LEE: Yes.

MR. LEWELLYN: I also think we should. I think we should show a little bit more support on it, especially if we are going to do it at all.

MR. MELHART: I would agree.

MR. FLENTJE: I think it should be sent

there for study. I am not too sure we should recommend it as yet because it depends on what you call physical fitness tests.

MR. DAVIS: There is a fine line of definition.

MR. FLENTJE: Yes. It depends upon how many coaches get their fingers in the pie.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Definitely. The NCAA is not pushing down trainers on this particular issue. Our coach last year said "to hell with the test, we will have a practice that day."

At any rate, how do you want this worded -- that we recommend the Joint Commission study it or that we recommend that this be accepted?

MR. LEE: From what I gather from the conversation here, I think the consensus of opinion here is that we recommend it to the Joint Commission for study.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Study it?

MR. LEE: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The NATA recommends to the Joint Commission to study the problem of institutions of the NCAA being allowed to administer physical fitness tests, etc. That is the motion. I believe it has been seconded.

MR. FLENTJE: I will second it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor of the motion indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? The motion is carried.

Now we go to the Certification Committee and this comes from Lindsay, in relation to a certificate for



the endorsed program.

"Be it known that after an individual has been endorsed as a secondary school athletic trainer that he be encouraged to continue to apply his efforts toward meeting the full requirements for certification by the NATA. This endorsement is effective for a period of five years after the below listed date."

This is for anybody, really. We have always had an endorsement. I know I have one in my District.

MR. DAVIS: We have had endorsements but we have never had a certificate to give the individual endorsed. It was nothing more than a letter to him.

MR. SHERIDAN: It doesn't say what classification he will come under.

MR. LEE: What is he, "active?"

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It would be "endorsed", code 2 "Associate."

MR. LEE: Are there quite a few in membership training?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is an associate member endorsed as a high school athletic trainer.

MR. LEE: Is it known today as to how many endorsed people there are?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Lindsay told me. The number is very small, twenty or under. I know I only have one in my own District.

MR. MELIN: Most of them are in Texas.

MR. LANE: I don't know of a single one.

MR. LEE: I really don't know what is going on here.

MR. DAVIS: I think maybe I have seen two.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't have any.

MR. LEWELLYN: I don't have any either.

MR. SMITH: Not all of these would be "associate."

MR. WHITE: I don't know of any.

MR. FLENTJE: I had one inquire about two years ago but I don't know of any follow-up on it.

MR. LANE: We have some that are now "associates," that are working as high school trainers but I don't think under this they are fulfilling requirements for moving on to certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: My understanding was that the individual we endorsed is paying full dues. He is an "associate member", Code 2, and he is just endorsed as a high school trainer.

MR. CROWL: Why would not he go under the "Actively engaged" deal rather than the "endorsed" deal? I don't think there is any difference.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: This is just to recognize the guy who is working his way through high school, who is working as a high school trainer but not yet certified.

I have no idea why that particular clause is in there, to tell you the truth. It is to give them encouragement to go on to certification.

MR. LEE: They don't qualify under the section.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Most of them would qualify under the five-year factor, am I right?



MR. MELIN: Well, the endorsement is limited to five years.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Endorsement is not a membership classification.

MR. MELIN: It is just a category of some recognition below certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Correct, and it is not a means of certification.

MR. MELHART: It is strictly for the high school.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes, strictly for high schools and strictly a way to recognize them.

MR. CROWL: I don't understand the difference between being certified this way and going on to "active."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: He cannot be certified this way.

MR. CROWL: At the end of five years?

MR. MELIN: Not unless he takes the examination.

MR. CROWL: The same thing is true under "actively engaged."

MR. DAVIS: What this is all about is that some of these people, in connection with information for their own administrators, need something to say that they are a member.

In other words, they want to be able to say, "This association is endorsing me for a five-year period while I advance in my proficiency." Is that right?

MR. MELIN: That is correct. It has always been a little unclear and it really isn't something that

one would seek unless it helps him in his job to be recognized.

MR. LEE: And he may or may not get certified.

MR. MELIN: The only thing he really has to have is an athletic training course and evidence of successful completion of workshops and there would not be any objective in getting this endorsement unless he also had the teaching position or was going to get a teaching position and had a position on the high school faculty.

MR. CROWL: Actually, for the individual to be certified, he would now come in under Section 3.

MR. LEE: Section 5. That is what we established on Friday night.

MR. CROWL: However, he could come in under Section 3, five years of experience.

MR. MELIN: He could still take some more college credit to get a Bachelor of Science or a Bachelor of Arts in Physical Education or go through a regular athletic training curriculum. However, he could be certified under Sections 2 or 3. That "actively engaged" thing is, of course, a little bit obscure now that we took that out of the membership.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Endorsement is just a means of recognition of a high school trainer. This gives him something to hang on the wall.

Lindsay is proposing that we accept this. He wants a motion from someone we accept this to be a certification for this particular person, of which there are very few.

MR. DAVIS: You don't want certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is "recognition."



MR. MELIN: That is the thing you will have to be careful of. That you do not call it "certification."

MR. LEE: I make a motion we accept the certificate of recognition for endorsement.

MR. CROWL: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The motion is before you that we accept the certificate of recognition for endorsement. Is there further discussion?

MR. DAVIS: He has underlined, Chairman, Board of Certification. I don't like the Board in there, not for this particular group.

MR. FLENTJE: I don't like "Certification" on there.

MR. DAVIS: You are going to have to have it on there.

MR. LANE: "Certification Committee."

MR. DAVIS: "Chairman, Certification Committee."

MR. LANE: We have two things here -- a Certification Committee and a Board of Certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is right.

MR. LANE: I also do not like to see the "Certification."

MR. DAVIS: I don't like "Certification" on there either and I don't like the looks of the thing. You know what will happen when you put that up on the wall.

MR. FLENTJE: On the other hand, do we really need that?

MR. LANE: That is like a lot of things I have hanging behind my desk, which I worked twenty years for. In this connection, I don't like this. Of course, you can propose it and I will vote against it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

I don't think we should vote on this until Fran gets back.

MR. JORDAN: I think I feel like Eddie does.

MR. CROWL: Could not an Associate Member get a certificate?

MR. SMITH: An Active Member gets a certificate.

MR. CROWL: However, why could you not join as an Associate Member and still get a certificate?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Which he is.

MR. SMITH: Is it that sufficient? It shows you he is being endorsed if he has that. He has already been endorsed by the organization to take him in as an Associate Member.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. He has a certificate for being a member.

MR. SMITH: Yes, he already has one. Why give him another one that says the same thing.

MR. DAVIS: The one coming around to you now is a new certificate for the Certified Athletic Trainer.

MR. LEE: Will this be going to the people certified from here on out?

MR. DAVIS: It probably will go to all individuals certified.



MR. LEE: Retroactively?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. What we would like to get away from is that sticker seal that you put on there every year and that a seal be placed in the number under it. I don't think it is necessary we send out a seal each year that you paid your dues.

MR. WHITE: Then you are a Certified Trainer forever?

MR. DAVIS: No, you are not either.

MR. WHITE: In other words, as long as they can hang that up, nobody is going to steal it from them. You can imply that you are. The seal does not validate you for the year.

MR. DAVIS: I guess so.

MR. SMITH: The seal is stamped on -- 1974-1975.

MR. WHITE: The seal updates you currently.

MR. LANE: In my case, it gets my frame dusted on an annual basis.

MR. DAVIS: They have the sticker on there.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: From the conversation I am getting here, it looks like we are going to vote this one down. However, again, I would like to have you hold up on this until Fran gets back.

MR. WHITE: I think the change is good but if we are changing it to solve the problem of the sticker...

MR. DAVIS: I just put that in there right now. Now, this also is something we have discussed once before, probably a year and a-half ago but I am sure you have seen these wooden plaques with your diploma

laminated on there.

There was discussion about an individual taking his certification examination and if he wishes to have that placed on this laminated plaque then, for an additional fee, it could be done at the time.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Okay, what we are trying to decide is what the feeling is -- in other words, should we continue the sticker method or go to the new method; how do you feel?

MR. SMITH: What are the procedures of some of the other organizations insofar as the giving of a so-called stamp each year? In connection with my state license, I have one big one and I have to renew it but I never see the renewal. Also, in most doctors' offices you see the license which has probably been sitting up on the wall for twenty years and has not been removed. The license really isn't renewed. They just pay whatever renewal fees are involved.

MR. JORDAN: Isn't that the same thing, here, Chairman, Board of Certification, that we have had on the other one?

MR. DAVIS: On the other hand, he is certifying on this particular one.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Should we stay with the sticker system or should we change? This is what you are deciding upon now.

MR. DAVIS: Stay with the sticker.

Do you want to change the type of certificate? He is also desiring that the members of the Board of Certification sign this.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, you know, we are talking about more and more certification examinations and we are going to put more members on this Board. We could end up with a certification plan in relation to each District and that would be ten of them. We could



end up with three in Districts 4 and 2.

MR. WHITE: We have enough trouble getting them signed by the President.

MR. MELHART: You could have awards pretty soon as big as the twenty-five year award.

MR. CROWL: We also have to consider that we are talking about cost on this.

MR. LEE: Does he want this to be separate from the certificates we have now -- people that were certified by the testing procedure? I think maybe he wants to start a new one for them. Ours would still be good.

MR. DAVIS: Well, when you are certified, you are certified.

MR. LEE: That is what I was wondering, because he added that in the corner.

MR. DAVIS: It looks to me like his signature would be sufficient.

MR. WHITE: Should there be an indication, for example, that the certificate is valid only if the seal is current or will that cheapen it?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is very different from what the certificate is now, is it not?

MR. DAVIS: Not really.

MR. MELIN: I have here (indicating) a copy of the regular one. I have here one that came out in 1970.

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps you could postpone this for further discussion at the January Meeting.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes, why don't we table

this until January and get some more input from Lindsay on it, as to why he wants changes and things like that.

MR. FLENTJE: I believe we have a motion on the floor about the endorsement thing.

...At this point, Mr. Sheridan re-entered the room...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Just to bring you up-to-date, Fran, this was on the matter of endorsement. I think most of the discussion was that they did not want to give that certificate to a high school trainer because it could be mistaken as a certified certificate.

We did not want to vote on this until you were back in the room.

MR. LEE: I think even on that endorsed certificate I would go along with what Eddie said but we ought to have Lindsay come in and explain because he certainly must have a definite idea for going ahead and proposing something like this. There must be some problem he has which we as a Board are not aware of.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Who made the motion?

MR. LEE: I believe I made the motion that we approve this and then there was discussion and we never had a second.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Would you like to table it until January?

MR. LEE: Correct. I make a motion that we table the matter of the endorsed certificate until January, at which time we may be able to get more input from Lindsay.

...The motion was seconded..

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have before you a motion to table this matter until the January meeting of



the Board.

All in favor of tabling this matter raise your right hand; opposed?

It is unanimously carried.

Now, we need a motion on the new certification proposal certificates from Lindsay.

I think we decided to table that.

MR. CROWL: I make a motion we table that also.

MR. JORDAN: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor indicate by raising your right hand; opposed?

It is unanimously carried.

MR. DAVIS: The next item has to do with the new crest emblem for the Certified Athletic Trainer -- taking the logo and having a round "certified" on the top and then the words "Athletic Trainer" on the bottom. That will be a clean patch.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there any discussion?

MR. FLENTJE: Why do we need this?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: To identify the certified trainers.

MR. LEE: The reason is that we presently have these emblems that you sell. They are just inside of that and really mean nothing. Anybody from a "student associate" to "certified" can wear that but this one would only be available to "Certified" members is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: Correct.

MR. FLENTJE: Who is going to handle them?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The District Secretaries.

MR. DAVIS: I guess the District Secretaries or the National Office.

MR. FLENTJE: You know, sometime I get the feeling—we are running a store rather than a professional organization.

MR. DAVIS: It has been that way for a long time.

MR. FLENTJE: However, we don't need to compound it.

MR. LEE: Other organizations have emblems.

The PG's do it.

MR. MELIN: Almost all of the allied health field people in the hospitals have them.

MR. LANE: How many certified trainers would really use these?

Are we taking something away from the student trainers? The student trainers like this. I have not worn something like this in many, many years.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Some guys, I think, are really enthusiastic about patches and some are not.

MR. LANE: My thirteen-year-old son has one from every national park in the United States.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Some athletic trainers come to us with patches all over their jackets.

MR. CROWL: There is nothing wrong with it.

MR. DAVIS: It is recognition of your status. If you want to wear it, fine; if you don't, fine.



MR. LEE: Especially when Cramer's came out with those jumpsuits and things. I thought something like that would look much more smarter if it indicated "certified". They would not sell them but "Certified Athletic Trainer" certainly would mean a lot more to those people and it would help the profession a lot more than just "Athletic Trainer".

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

Is there a motion?

MR. LEE: I make a motion we accept this as the official emblem of the Association for "Certified Athletic Trainers".

MR. SMITH: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is a motion and second to accept this as the official emblem of the Association for "Certified Athletic Trainer" members. Is there discussion?

MR. FLENTJE: I would like to discuss the mechanics of dispersing this a little more.

I am not sure the secretaries should be saddled with the additional responsibility involved here because they have new patches and pens to give out themselves, besides controlling the certified people.

MR. DAVIS: You can handle it through your National Office. That is where it should come from. It is a certification and, therefore, you would have a double check on where it goes.

MR. LEE: That is certainly a good idea.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We have before us a motion and a second, is there more discussion, for or against?

MR. DAVIS: Perhaps you can put that in the motion.

MR. LEE: I would make an amendment to the motion -- that this emblem be dispersed by the National Office.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You now have before you the motion as amended. Are you ready to vote?

MR. FLENTJE: On what?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The motion to accept the emblem and have it handled by the National Office.

All in favor indicate by saying "Aye"; opposed? Let the record indicate that the motion was carried with one abstention by Mr. Flentje.

MR. SHERIDAN: Before we get on anything else, Mr. President, let me say that I went out and looked for Chuck but I could not find him. However, Dick Macrea stopped me and said he had given to the office downstairs to be mimeographed something on that public relations thing and also the literature on getting of the stamp and that they lost everything down in the office and so that is why I wasn't here earlier. However, in all my travels around the hotel, I did not find Chuck.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Let's get back then to discussing this. We cannot stay here forever.

What are we going to do about these Olympics? Shall we not discuss this any further until we get hold of Chuck?

MR. LEE: I would think so.

MR. MELHART: I don't know how we can take any kind of action without his comments as to why.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Very well, okay.

MR. WHITE: Do we have an opportunity to take action on it?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Of course, we can approve



it or not approve it. We do that with all Committee reports.

MR. DAVIS: This is why you wanted it to come back to you gentlemen. You can either go on record that you approve this list of names or not approve the whole list of names.

MR. MELHART: Can we table this?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: If it is tabled, then the Olympic Committee will not have a list.

MR. LEE: If we don't act upon this at this meeting, is it just going to disintegrate?

MR. DAVIS: They will do what they want to do. Of course, they will do what they want to do anyway.

MR. LEE: I think they owe us an explanation as to why that came about. There is a lot of work that went into this.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Especially for the secretaries.

MR. DAVIS: Eddie has a member in his District that was approved and refused.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Dodson was approached.

MR. DAVIS: He was approached to go to the Pan Am Games.

MR. LANE: And I had one that was approached and accepted.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is the head trainer.

MR. SHERIDAN: Speaking for District No. 2, I would like to get some clarification, because we had

it hot and heavy at our meeting and one of the individuals that was involved, his name is on here and now his name is on again for the Pan Am Games.

MR. LEWELLYN: I would like to bring up something that came up in connection with our District meeting for the record.

In other words, District No. 3 opposes a group or a person accepting olympic nominations except as head trainer if the individual's name is not submitted to the proper District procedure. Any variance from that would be a breach of ethics.

MR. DAVIS: They are saying it would be a breach of ethics if he was approached and if he went and his name was not submitted?

MR. LEWELLYN: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I remember, we discussed this before and went around the table and I think it was the consensus that everybody said that if you did not solicit your name for an olympic committee it would not be in violation of the Code of Ethics, whether for a head trainer or not and in connection with all ten Districts, I am sure the minutes of last Friday or last Saturday will indicate we discussed it. I think we indicated that if you do not mail your name in, then you are not in violation of the Code of Ethics.

MR. SHERIDAN: I will tell you how it is going in my District.

There is the name of an individual on here for the summer games and this will be his third straight time he is going and he is an assistant at Chuck's school. Of course, he is only one individual.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I am sure there is no complaint about that one. They voted him.

MR. SHERIDAN: I am saying we voted him in.



You cannot tell these guys the procedure.

MR. LEE: Of course, there is nothing you can do about this if they vote them in.

MR. DAVIS: I think, Fran, one of the reasons your man went was because of a particular specialty.

MR. LEE: The way it all adds up, the Olympic Committee did not even use the formula. They took four alternates.

In relation to the winter games, we had four and two.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I don't remember that.

MR. LEE: In other words, it was supposed to be ten-four and for the Pan Am it is ten-two.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is five-one on the other instead of four-two.

MR. LEE: What I am trying to find out is what we are actually going by. In other words, they say one thing and they do something else.

MR. MELHART: I think there are some thirty-one names here.

MR. LANE: We had it up to thirty-four.

MR. SHERIDAN: There are four names on the submitted list that are not on the printed list.

MR. LEE: Ten for winter and summer.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let's go on with other business and I will try to find Chuck again in half an hour.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We can call an emergency meeting tomorrow morning.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let's put it aside for a while.

Is everybody going to be here tomorrow? We can put it aside for another half hour.

MR. DAVIS: I am passing out to you some application forms.

The first one coming to you is for your file for twenty-five years. The next one is to be used for honorary membership, followed by a list of honorary members. These are updated, May 1974 and this includes the ones that went in last night.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I believe we are ready to name the liaison representatives to allied organizations for 1974-1975. As I said before, there are very few changes.

For the American Academy of Pediatrics it is Dick Macarea. That is the same.

For the American Association of Health, Physical Education and recreation, it is Bud Miller.

For the American College Health Association it is Jim Dodson.

For the American College of Sports Medicine, that is the same, Gary Delforge.

For the American Corrective Therapy Association, there is a change here. It has been Frank Randall and it is going to be Tobler this year. We have had very little representation with this but there are 13 members who are members of both groups.

The American Medical Association, Committee on Medical Aspects of Sports, is Bobby Gunn and this year it is really going to be Bobby Gunn but Bud Miller is going to do it because it is up in Seattle and they are going to put on the picture show they put on for the AAHPER thing.

Bobby Gunn is appointed but Bud Miller will



fill in for him.

The American Physical Therapy Association is myself.

With regard to the Joint Commission on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, that is the same -- Newell and Wilson. I talked to Warren about this and they have been kind of having some pressures from the high school commission and the high school organization would like to see a representative on there and so we have put on Vic Recine from District No. 2.

The NCAA Rules Committee is Warren Morris and that is the same.

The International Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment is the same, Tom Wall.

The National Federation of State High School Athletic Associations is Fred Hoover.

The Olympic Committee, that is the same, Chuck Medlar. That should be the International Games Committee.

Then we are writing a letter to the National Collegiate Association of Directors of Athletics and to the NAIA, the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics and we are writing a letter to the National Junior College Athletic Association and we are writing a letter to the American Association of Intercollegiate Athletics and the Division of Girls and Womens Sports. We are writing letters to these new organizations requesting liaison activity with those groups.

I would like to have a motion to approve those appointments.

MR. MELHART: I would make a motion we approve the liaison representatives to the allied organizations for 1974-1975.

...The motion was severally seconded...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed?

It is carried.

Now, if you don't like a liaison report that comes in and you think we have to have a change, be sure you make a recommendation to them.

Now, let's have the election for a Vice-President. The floor is open for nominations for Vice-President.

MR. LEWELLYN: I would nominate Fran Sheridan.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: The floor is still open for a nomination for a Vice-President. Are there any further nominations?

MR. CROWL: I would move that nominations be closed and that Mr. Sheridan be declared unanimously elected.

...The motion was severally seconded, voted upon and declared to be unanimously carried...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have elected Fran Sheridan as your Vice-President.

MR. SHERIDAN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, is it unreasonable to ask the Committees to get their reports in two weeks to a month before the Board meeting? How do you feel about that?

Do you like that system?

...Cries of "yes"...



PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, we will certainly try to have that done.

Now, is there any new business?

MR. MELIN: We still have something with regard to clarification on certification.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: What is it?

MR. MELHART: It is whether we approve or disapprove once the examination has been taken.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I did not have a check after that and I wasn't sure.

MR. MELHART: I mentioned it to Lindsay.

First of all, he could easily get a list to us ahead of the examination date. Second of all, he approved of the fact that we should be able to disapprove once it is all done.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would agree with that.

However, does that involve a Bylaws change?

MR. MELIN: That is certainly a good question. I don't know whether it has to be a change or an interpretation. For example, one could consider that would be just your directions to the Board of Certification as a part of the procedure.

MR. MELHART: All it says is "approves recommendations".

MR. DAVIS: The materials coming around right now are from Ken Gardiner. You might remember him as the former salesman, several years ago, down in the Southern area for BIKE. He is presently living in Greenfield, South Carolina and he called me and talked to me about a tape of the month idea that he has for the members. He has spent some time in talking with Fred Hoover about it.

At any rate, I have now just opened a package that he sent here by Special Delivery, it arriving after Fred had already come in and I have not read it and so I don't know what it is.

Now, basically what he is asking for is not our endorsement but, instead of him going directly to our members, he is asking to purchase our mailing service or mailing list to send out this information to our members on "Tape of the Month".

Of course, he can do this directly without our approval but he desires us to be aware of what he would like to do and it would be up to each individual member to accept or reject his proposal to them.

MR. CROWL: Then, what he is really requesting or asking for is our mailing list?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are we selling it to him?

MR. DAVIS: You can.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: And we have sold it to other people in the past?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

MR. LEE: How much do they purchase it for?

MR. DAVIS: Whatever Lafayette Mailing Service charges plus we get a ten percent commission. It is whatever their going rate is. It depends upon the number of our membership broken down by a certain rate per hundred. If they want to use their own envelopes or want to use white envelopes, if they want to use gummed labels or if they want just a master sheet printed, there are different rates for each. I don't know what the going rates are. However, we receive a percentage from the Lafayette Mailing Service.

MR. CROWL: Who would he get it from if we



did not sell it to him -- just from a friend of his or somebody that handled this?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. He could, for example, just take a Cramer Catalog.

MR. FLENTJE: If he is going to do it one way or another, then we might as well make something off of it.

MR. CROWL: Will this show us endorsing his product in any way?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It states in the letter that we do not -- he will not use it.

Is there further discussion on this?

MR. LEE: I can say to you that our athletic department purchased this whole set and I was really skeptical about it at the beginning. However, they really make you believe it. I really could not believe, for example, that a coaching staff could come in and use this kind of material. It really indoctrinates the people. There are a lot of people that have come by there and they have a deal like this on the wall that is a little different than this one and you would be amazed at the number of requests they get from people. These are both for athletes and the staff. However, I did not think it was very practical to begin with.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We are then looking for a motion to approve the sale of our mailing list to this gentleman.

Let me ask you, Otho, do you have any feeling with regard to selling the mailing list? Do we make enough money to make it worthwhile?

MR. DAVIS: Of course, it is better than nothing at all.

MR. FLENTJE: I would move we sell the mailing list to him.

MR. MELHART: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have a motion and a second before you that we sell the mailing list to this gentleman. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

Now, as an item of new business, we have a proposal that comes from the Southwest Athletic Trainers Association, or the Eastern Trainers insofar as we are concerned. Basically, this comes from Districts Nos. 1 and 2.

Now, gentlemen, you have a copy of it before you and, therefore, why don't you take a moment and read it through and then we will discuss it.

...A brief pause ensued while the Board members read through the above-mentioned proposal...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: This is a recommendation to the Board of Directors of the National.

At this time, gentlemen, let me say that Dr. Sherry, President of the Sports Medicine Section of the American College Health Association has come here and would like to say a few words to you.

DR. SHERRY: I hate to interrupt anything and stop the progress of your business but I would like to say that the American College Health people have been trying to not really establish a meeting with the NATA but we have been trying to get our physicians to start coming here, not to create another meeting in connection with this meeting, however. My intention was we would just have a business session someplace during the convention.

We believe that the NATA can be of value to us and we would like to start sending some people down here.

Now, within our organization, the American



College Health Section, we have the Athletic Medicine Section and we generally have good meetings. We do have one liaison representative, Jim Dodson and Jim and I have been trying to talk about the mutual problems we all face and try to cooperate between both organizations and also trying to run our people into the NATA and vice versa.

Some of our trainer-doctor relationships are strong and a lot of the trainers would like to come with us to their meetings and speak at our meetings.

This is not a big group but consists of a lot of old-timers, a lot of people who have been there for quite a while.

I will likewise be on the Joint Commission and I would just give you some words about that.

There is some feeling within our group that the Joint Commission should meet with the NATA and we are starting to pull the members in groups. I feel that the Joint Commission ought to probably be here and, further, if it can also work out being with the NCAA twice a year, that will also be fine. However, there is a strong feeling which has started to grow among the members of the Joint Commission and yourselves also that this is the place to be.

We have also spoken to Fred in relation to your Anaheim Meeting. At this point in time I don't believe we want program time but I think we would just like to have business meeting time. I think it would be a great idea if our people could come to this meeting. A lot are starting to come anyway and I think we could, for example, kill two birds with one stone by having a short business session within the group and then having the opportunity to attend your meeting also.

That is really all I have to say.

I also think that the trainer really is the hub of the athletic program and, probably that is the way the thing is going and so it kind of behooves us to try

to jump in and offer some assistance. However, we do look forward to trying to do something official with regard to seeing you.

As I said before, there are not that many in the group -- it is not a big organization, and we may want to call upon you in time for our meetings. We have in the past and will continue to do so.

We have always had great cooperation and we look forward to continuing this cooperation with your group.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you, Doctor.

We would like to thank you for all of your cooperation and the advice and leadership we have gotten from your group. We really appreciate it. It is good to have great relationships.

I might say that this group asked me to speak to them some two years ago in Chicago and they were great and I did not realize it would be this good.

It will be a help, of course, to move the Joint Commission to us, have their meetings with us.

Now, gentlemen, you have that proposal in front of you. Would you like further discussion on it?

MR. JORDAN: The only thing I know is that Dick Malacrae spoke with me about this on Sunday evening. I told him he should get it written up and present it to the Board and that I did not know what would come of it from there on. Therefore, he had this printed up and distributed this morning. This is probably something that could be referred to the Injury Committee.

Perhaps, Fran, you may have something to add to this.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Basically his proposal is that we direct the NATA to recommend to the Rules



Committee of the National Federation of State High Schools, to the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports to mandate the type of footwear to be worn by athletes competing in the game of football on both natural and turf fields.

What it says is that we have the half-inch cleat ruling now and he would like the rule to include the other one.

MR. SHERIDAN: The last paragraph contains the recommendation.

MR. MELHART: The last paragraph says "players having greater than average body weight". Now, you would have an interesting time determining that.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: These are things that I feel as a Board we should not try to decide. This comes from my District and perhaps, in turn, it should be referred to our Research and Injury Committee and they, in turn, make a recommendation to us because they should be the authority insofar as we are concerned.

MR. SHERIDAN: I was going to suggest that.

I am a member of the Executive Council of the Eastern and I was going to suggest that we forward this on to the Research and Injury Committee for their recommendation and action.

MR. DAVIS: A lot more study needs to be done. Some of this comes from clinical evaluation and it has not been field tested.

You take an orthopedic foot and you put a shoe on it and you build a stand and you weightload this thing and take a torque wrench and turn it and read off the amount of torque, the interface friction between the cleats and that surface, it is not like an individual with a shoe on his foot, running, cutting and the body weight being applied.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we all agree with

that. However, I believe that a lot more needs to be done before we as an organization can make a recommendation, is that not true?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there a motion then to refer this to our Research and Injury Committee?

MR. SHERIDAN: I will make that motion.

MR. FLENTJE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by saying "aye"; opposed "no". The motion is unanimously carried.

MR. DAVIS: I would like to request that the Director of District No. 2, so that we do not have to carry it any further, inform the Chairman of the Research and Injury Committee of the policy involving solicitation of his name to various committees.

MR. SHERIDAN: All right.

MR. DAVIS: He is representing us on a very important committee and I would hate to see him become involved of soliciting his name to another Committee which we have already appointed a member to and in relation to which some manufacturers came back and said they would like to have him and that they had contacted him and he would like to be with them and start going through the corporate procedure.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Again, in this particular instance, if they want to pay for him to go to this and not represent us, that is his privilege.

MR. DAVIS: We can appoint two members to the NOCSAE group and still only receive one vote. We can have two representatives to it which, in one way, would



not be a bad idea. The whole principle about this particular thing, however, is the way he went about it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Thank you. Now, is there any other business?

Now, as you will remember, I believe we previously got hung up on this matter of certification -- as to whether we should approve the list before or after. Lindsay has told us that he would rather we approve it.

MR. MELHART: I spoke to him.

Lindsay said it would be not problem to get a list of candidates who were going to participate in the certification examination so that we could review it and then if we had a question about eligibility in relation to any one of the candidates, that would be the time to bring up the questions.

However, once the examinations are completed, we will be sent a list, give it our professional review, since we are the governing body, and then it will be sent back.

MR. DAVIS: This will also mean that Lindsay's cut-off dates will have to be advanced to give him ample time to get it to you and you, in turn, ample time to get it back because you do approve or disapprove of the candidates.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: On this particular issue, you get it back pretty quick.

MR. CROWL: You don't again have to approve the individual after you examine him?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: No.

MR. MELIN: The recommendation of the Board of Certification for certification of candidates is not very clear and so I would just say that you could just, when you have Board Certification and

recognize it, their policy should be to submit the list of candidates to the Board for approval before they are being given permission to take the examination. This is a matter of policy.

Of course, they have all kinds of policies. However, if you request them to do that, then you are all right.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there a motion?

MR. MELHART: Are we going to change the Bylaws?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: No. We are going to make a policy statement.

MR. MELIN: Just instructing the Board of Certification.

MR. SMITH: We are going to have to approve these first and then after.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: No, just once.

MR. MELHART: I would make a motion that the Board of Certification be instructed to send each member of the Board of Directors a list of candidates for the upcoming certification examination for our approval -- to take the examination.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there discussion on that?

MR. CROWL: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: In other words, you would rather see it before than after.

All in favor so indicate by raising your hand; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

MR. MELIN: You will have to work out a satisfactory time schedule.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we should send this one back to Lindsay because this is more important than the list or other things that we get.

And now, do you wish to discuss any further in relation to the Olympics?

Does anybody have a suggestion? Should we meet again?

MR. LEE: I think we ought to have an emergency meeting again. This is something that involves a tremendous amount of time. It has gotten all fouled up and I don't think we should leave Kansas City without doing something about it.

MR. SHERIDAN: I looked in the lobby, through the exhibits and in the various rooms, talked to other individuals and indicated to them we were all looking for Chuck. However, thus far I have had no luck.

MR. LANE: On the olympic situation, I got out our Bylaws and have read the various sections, the terms of office of committee members, etcetera, especially in relation to the International Games Trainer Nominations Committee.

I read them about three times and I don't know if you want to come up with anything in the form of a proposal concerning the International Games Committee.

Perhaps you want to ask the Committee to provide written guidelines for operations to be followed by all Districts. Now, we may already have these. If we do as Directors, I am not aware of them. I selected the six candidates from District No. 6 by my own format that I had written up and followed. That is all I had to go by.

MR. WHITE: Not by election?

MR. LANE: By election but I myself chose the form of election.

MR. LEE: At the Board Meeting in 1972 this was spelled out clearly. We then went back and said it was one certified trainer for every sixteen members in the District and then I was told it would be 51.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think what Eddie is asking us to do is to set up a standard procedure for all the districts for how the district should select the five, two, the ten, whomever they are going to select. Eddie would like a standardized procedure to do that.

For example, some districts sent out ballots and some districts, the last time, did not do that. Did we all send out a ballot this time?

MR. LANE: I sent out a ballot.

MR. LEE: I also sent out a ballot.

MR. SMITH: The others also did.

MR. LANE: In District No. 6, during the last three times, for the years 1968, 1972 and 1976, it has been done three different ways. It apparently depended on who the District Director was at the time.

MR. CROWL: I believe we asked in January about a standard application form.

MR. WHITE: On the other hand, getting back to what we have been talking about, he may have had a very logical reason for what he did.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think it is going to have to be a pretty good reason because this particular situation is something that is pretty tough to explain to your District membership.

MR. LEE: Especially after you go through all of this hassle and come up with more people.

MR. WHITE: I think, in connection with the Pan-American Games and the Olympics, both winter and summer, they are all different.



MR. SMITH: The listing is different in there.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I don't know, for example, why your name is on there, Lew.

MR. CROWL: I don't either. I received a card with the dates of the Pan-Am Games, asking if I would be available and I swear I thought it was for the head trainer. However, it simply said "will you be available?"

I did not submit my name for the fifteen. I really thought it was for head trainers.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is one man's name here that I know of, from Princeton, New Jersey, who did not call the Committee. The Committee called the head trainer and asked if he would approve him for the Pan-Am Games, that they would like to have him go.

MR. DAVIS: The reason for that was that this particular gentleman to whom you refer is black.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: At any rate, that is the only one I know of. Maybe the reason they put his name on the list was to fake it, to say "look, we have a fellow." However, Joe Abraham hasn't said a word to me or Mel. Has Mel said anything about why his name is on the list?

MR. WHITE: They don't know they are on the list.

We were the first to get this. They just acted on this this morning. These people did not even know about it, I am sure, until Chuck talked to them. That is why I am wondering if this is for release.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is not for release until we talk to Chuck.

MR. CROWL: As far as I am concerned, my name is off because I could not face the District.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Unless you get picked as head trainer.

MR. CROWL: That is why I asked the other day, when we discussed it, if it was unethical if you were picked for head trainer.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I believe we decided the other day that it was not unethical to be picked for this position if you did not solicit it.

If you remember, after the last Olympics, we from the Olympic Selection Committee, received a list of names of NATA members who had solicited their names, who had sent the letter in.

MR. DAVIS: According to the way Chuck wrote Don Miller, "the Committee, consisting of myself as Chairman and four other members, will meet in Kansas City to make the final selections."

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, is there a policy in the Constitution and Bylaws regarding this?

MR. MELIN: No.

The only thing referring to this is the part in the Code of Ethics and in this statement of policy, in the last part.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Does anybody have anything else on their mind?

Is there any old business?

MR. CROWL: These are the important things that our District discussed yesterday.

First of all, the District would like to have a vote on the Presidency. I told them I did not know if that was available or not but a couple of people were upset about the fact they did not receive anything indicating the vote.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: I don't even know what the count was.

MR. CROWL: I told them I did not know either.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It was indicated it was close but I don't know.

MR. CROWL: Another thing is this -- will we be sent information on when those scholarship applications have to be in or is there a deadline date now that we know of?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: There is a deadline. I want to say March 1st, but I am not sure. It is March 1st or 15th.

MR. DAVIS: With regard to the count, here it is. There were 288 for President Frank George; 279 for Bud Miller and just to make it official and a matter of record, Mr. Leo Callahan voted for Otho Davis. (Laughter) Therefore, it is 288, 279 and 1.

MR. MELIN: What I was leading up to is that the only way that you can view the policy in the past, the only way that people on the list submitted by the District could be elected would be for someone on the Medical Services Committee to request other athletic trainers than were submitted.

MR. DAVIS: I believe the way to handle this would be for the Board to either approve or disapprove this list and they can either approve it with the names which are submitted on the list, approve those names from the list and not approve any name that was not on their list.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Did you all hear that? There is a solution from Otho Davis -- the Board can either approve the list in its entirety, disapprove the list in its entirety or approve the members' names who come from the lists submitted by the districts.

Do you understand the three options?

They are, first, to approve this list from the Olympic Selection Committee; secondly, disapprove the list and, thirdly, approve the list with the names deleted of members who were not sent to the Olympic Selection Committee by their respective Districts.

MR. JORDAN: Will this solve the problems of those involved that you mentioned earlier?

MR. DAVIS: No.

MR. SHERIDAN: Let me tell you this. As long as you are going to vote on this, I talked to Bobby downstairs and asked him if he had seen Chuck and he replied "no" and then I asked him about the names on the list and he indicated that Dr. Handley had called Chuck and told him he wanted these names on the list.

Bobby just told me that when I was downstairs.

MR. DAVIS: Well, I think it comes to you from a Committee and you should take action on it.

You go out and you work yourself to the bone to get balance for your respective districts, get them to vote and get them back to you, the names are turned in and then these names are added on.

MR. SHERIDAN: I don't know about the rest of you but Otho can attest to what happened at our meeting yesterday.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would ask this -- how many trainers go to the Pan-American Games?

...Cries of "ten"...

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Now, have you heard the three options we have had presented here?

They are to improve the entire thing, disapprove the entire thing or approve only the names that were submitted to the Olympic Selection Committee.



MR. SHERIDAN: On the question, if we disapprove of the Committee's Report, how much time does Chuck have to get his Committee together again?

MR. DAVIS: That is not the point.

MR. SMITH: Let me throw this out.

Were we not told the other day, for example, that we were lucky to get eighty percent?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Why can't they go ahead?

This is the third option, basically, on that, why can't they accept all of these names and if they want to toss out some or add some in, then we cannot do anything about that.

MR. DAVIS: That is true. If once we take them off and the Olympic Committee wants to put them back on, that is their prerogative. However, you have to do justice to your own people and support them.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I cannot see how we can approve a list with mens' names on it that we did not submit to the Committee from the Districts. That is almost impossible and cannot be done.

MR. SMITH: Cannot we put a fourth option there -- to go back to what I just said -- to go similar to what you have there?

MR. DAVIS: Let them figure it out.

MR. CROWL: If you disapprove the whole list that could hurt some other ones that were submitted.

MR. LANE: From what has been said, we really don't know how Dr. Handley selected those names, is that correct?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We know of one individual -- because he was black, he had to be selected.

MR. LANE: And insofar as the other ones are concerned, we have a pretty good idea how they were selected from past performance.

MR. SHERIDAN: I agree with what Otho said, you have to give answers to your people and if we go through two or three different mailings and submit ten names and two names are selected out of my ten, for example, four names are selected that were not on this list, then it is kind of hard to explain to your people.

MR. DAVIS: We even had one member in District 2 yesterday afternoon who even went so far as to say that he did not have to have his name on the list -- who said, "I don't want to go but if I did want to go, all I have to do is to call Washington and my Congressman will put me on the list." It is that simple.

However, he said he was not going to submit his name because he did not want to go.

MR. SHERIDAN: He had that Congressman's name because he showed it to me later on.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Therefore, when we have someone who is going to do that, that is inviolation of the Code of Ethics, definitely in violation of it.

MR. SHERIDAN: In that particular instance it would be, yes.

MR. DAVIS: Sure it would. However, it really is nothing but political. Here is a guy coming down and saying "yes, I will do it, I want to go" and then you also have others on there who don't.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Chuck told me that Dr. Handley was going to pick some for the Pan-Am but would leave the winter and summer Olympics entirely to us.



That is no guarantee, it looks that way but, you know, until they are on the boat going over, there really is no guarantee.

MR. SHERIDAN: Abraham said that Dr. Handley had called and asked him to go to the American Games and he was one of those involved in arguments yesterday who said he had been to the last two Olympics.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Again, do you understand what the options are before you. We have to continue on here.

Number one, again, is to disapprove the entire list; number two is to approve the entire list and number three is to approve the list of names that were submitted to the Olympic Selection Committee.

That means we could just send in the names we have here.

Also, I believe, Gene, you had a fourth one which I did not really understand. What was that fourth option?

MR. SMITH: What I was referring to was to go back -- in other words, it is the same as your third one, basically.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: How does anybody else feel about that.

Is there discussion on the three options?

MR. DAVIS: I would suggest you keep it to the three options.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Let's talk about the first one, approve the entire list. Who is in favor of that?

Well, nobody seems to be thus far. Are you, Bob, in favor of that.

MR. WHITE: No.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Disapprove the entire list -- shut down everything?

This doesn't leave too much choice.

MR. LANE: I don't believe the third option is proper but what can we do?

MR. DAVIS: They are going to have that prerogative anyway.

MR. FLENTJE: We were told we were going to submit 34 names and we are only coming up with 29.

MR. CROWL: Could these names be replaced, which they should be, it seems to me?

MR. JORDAN: They probably will be, with fairly important people.

MR. LANE: If we go with the third one, we are cutting four people out that have done it right now no matter what we do.

MR. DAVIS: Disapprove the nominations not submitted with the list, with instructions to the Nominating Committee to submit a new list with four names. If you do that, you did the right thing, did what you had to do.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I think we are going to have to stick to this.

MR. FLENTJE: I think we should be furnished a copy of that whole list.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I guess we have to stand up sometime, I guess.

MR. MELIN: You are talking about your own Committee now?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: If that list comes to the Olympic Committee without four names, they will make it



so by picking whoever they want and if that occurs, we are going to have to live with it.

MR. CROWL: Why cannot Chuck's Committee put four other names on there?

MR. DAVIS: That is what I am asking for. Perhaps these four can be replaced with four others that are on the master list. When it goes to New York, if New York wants to take those four names back off and put the original ones on, that is their prerogative.

MR. CROWL: Going to New York, we would never know it.

MR. LANE: If you are going to go that far, can we go so far as to tell the International Games Committee that we want a list submitted -- take these names off and submit a list on the formula as we understood it the last time?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is what we are going to do. We are going to send ten of our names.

MR. LANE: We do not have that here now.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Chuck is going to have to come up with four names.

MR. LANE: With eight more names.

MR. LEE: Because he did not name alternates.

MR. LANE: He has ten and two and our understanding was ten and four.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Wait a minute, I did not get that.

MR. SHERIDAN: I thought we were submitting 15 names.

MR. LEE: We did.

MR. SHERIDAN: It is 56 names.

MR. SMITH: That is the new formula.

MR. LANE: No.

MR. WHITE: There are four additional on the supplemental list. None of those four are on the other one.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are you counting the names of some of these men twice? There are a couple of them that are listed twice here.

MR. CROWL: I think a lot of Chuck Medlar but I don't feel that he is right in his position by even going by what Dr. Handley is recommending.

MR. SHERIDAN: He is wrong and I have no question about it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, we have before us the three proposals.

The first one I think you have turned down without voting.

The second one you have turned down.

With regard to the third one, are we ready to do that, with instructions to the Olympic Selection Committee to put four more names on the list, our International Games Selection Committee, to put four more names on the list to replace the names deleted by the Board of Directors because they were not on the original list?

Does that sound reasonable?

Would somebody make a motion, please.

MR. MELIN: The four names have to come from the submitted names.



MR. JORDAN: On the other hand, this still does not solve the problem of the alternates, of getting the required number.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Okay, I don't know what to say about that.

MR. MELHART: There is only one additional one and that is the Olympic one. The alternates have not been named in the Pan-American.

MR. SMITH: There are two missing in the Pan-American. The Pan-American should have ten and four.

MR. CROWL: Can we make two motions?

MR. LANE: We have seven named and that should be the figure we asked for and maybe spell it out.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is somebody writing up a proposal?

MR. CROWL: Since I am involved, I would like to make a motion.

I am not sure how it reads, but that we send the names, that we approve the eight names on the list and take four names off that that were not on the lists submitted and then we want those names replaced by names that are on the list submitted.

In addition, we want to add two names to the alternates for the Canadian Games, take one name listed off the winter games and move down to the alternate and then add one name to the alternate for the summer games.

MR. MELIN: You are moving down. You are only supposed to have four on the regular list and two on your alternates. Therefore, you have to move one from the regular list.

MR. DAVIS: If you keep five, you can request

two alternates.

MR. MELHART: Maybe have them request a fifth one.

MR. FLENTJE: I think we should go with what we have been asked for.

MR. DAVIS: Okay.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do we have that now? I presume that is a motion. Is there a second to the motion?

MR. LANE: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there further discussion?

Here is what the proposal is. There is a motion to approve the list of names sent to the Board of Directors by the International Games Selection Committee of NATA. There is a motion to approve this list of names with four selections; names that were not included in the original list sent to the NATA International Games Selection Committee, with instructions to the International Games Selection Committee to replace these four names with names from the lists submitted by the Districts, with instructions to the Olympic Selection Committee to have the number of names submitted to the United States Olympic Medical and Training Services to be ten and four alternates for the Pan-Am Games; for the winter games, four and two alternates; to the summer games, ten and four alternates. Is that everything?

MR. MELIN: Do you want to have this list made known to the Board of Directors?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We want that particular list made known to the Board of Directors.

MR. FLENTJE: This is not for publication or discussion.



PRESIDENT GEORGE: Until we get a final list.

MR. DAVIS: When do you want to come back to the Board of Directors, tomorrow morning?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Are we going to ask for that now?

Tomorrow morning at nine o'clock, there will be a meeting in this room. Can we meet earlier?

First of all, there is a motion and a second on the floor.

All in favor of the motion raise your hand; all opposed? The motion is carried.

Now, gentlemen, I think we did the proper thing and I hope we did not hurt any of the members of NATA. I don't think we did.

Now, perhaps we should have a motion that all Committee Reports, all Committee recommendations must be approved by the Board of Directors of NATA before they become official NATA policy.

MR. DAVIS: Very good. Is that a motion?

MR. LANE: I would so move.

MR. FLENTJE: Second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You have the motion and the second. Is there further discussion?

If not, all in favor indicate by raising your hand; opposed?

The motion is carried.

MR. LANE: I just want to discuss this. We do not need to act on it. We will solve it in District No. 6.

However, in our meeting yesterday, we at District No. 6 were considering a student dues increase and this created some problems down there with the effective date and prior publications we had already put out for our Annual Meeting, which cost them \$10.

Now, it is going to cost our District money. Of course, we can stand it. It is going to hurt us but I wanted to let you know that. I did not realize when I voted on this what it would involve in our district but I thought you ought to know about it.

MR. DAVIS: Just a moment. I don't understand why it should cost you money.

MR. LANE: Our dues will be paid by members in District No. 6 by August 1 and then other payments on January 1, 1975.

MR. DAVIS: I would like to make a suggestion, if you will accept it.

I would like to create a scholarship for our first President of the NATA and if you will go along with this, I personally will contribute this year or initially right now \$250 to the Grants and Scholarship Committee and another \$250 next June to the Grants and Scholarship Committee to create a \$500 scholarship in the name of Robert Gunn. I will do this if you will approve taking the interest from our savings account for a ninety-day period to go to this \$500 for this scholarship.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Who would the scholarship be for?

MR. DAVIS: That can be drawn up at a later time. All I am asking for is the approval. We can work out the mechanics later on. However, all I want is a scholarship in the name of Bobby Gunn.

This is the first time this has ever been



mentioned to anyone and he does not know it and never will unless it is at your pleasure.

I talked to a banker about this and it can be worked out very easily through a treasurer's bond and they are only sold in ten thousand dollar lots for a ninety-day period and on that, the interest for ten thousand dollars would be a little over \$200. Taking \$30,000, it is \$600 or something like that.

MR. SHERIDAN: I suppose that after the ninety-day period, that could be cashed in and put back in the savings account.

MR. DAVIS: Or that particular amount would be taken and be allocated to the establishment of this scholarship.

MR. WHITE: That would not be "annual" -- that would be just a one-shot deal?

MR. DAVIS: It can be an annual deal.

MR. LEE: You can do it annually.

MR. DAVIS: It is coming from the interest of the NATA savings account. I will donate, within the two-year period -- within a one-year period now and again next June, \$250 to the National Scholarship Fund.

MR. CROWL: This initial \$500 would be to start the award?

MR. DAVIS: It would be a donation to the Grant and Scholarship Fund. I am asking that the Gunn Scholarship come from interest drawn from the savings account.

MR. WHITE: That would not be a Gunn Scholarship, if you are giving it to the Grants and Scholarship Committee.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It would be the same as

the Newell Award.

MR. WHITE: I thought what he was trying to do was to establish a bank of money in order to make the award.

MR. DAVIS: That is what I want to do but I am willing to contribute, with your approval, to developing one from another source. This can be done very easily, for one thing, in a ninety-day period from date of your convention, of the receipts that you receive from the convention. There are many avenues of doing it.

MR. FLENTJE: And you are asking for this approval now?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand it, this money is now in our savings account, is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: In our savings account we presently have some \$34,000 and in connection with a ninety-day period on \$30,000 in treasury bonds, you will make \$600.

MR. WHITE: Why don't we have that in treasury bonds anyhow?

MR. DAVIS: That \$600 will then take care of this. That is the way our savings account is set up. When we get \$10,000, it goes into treasury bonds and the other stays in the regular savings account because they only sell bonds in ten thousand dollar increments.

Another means would be the transfer of our regular checking account for a ninety-day period. As long as we don't need that money for ninety days in a regular account, we can transfer it. We will still have a large amount in the checking account until the bills start coming in and depleting the regular account.



MR. WHITE: Are you saying that you want to create a \$500 Bobby Gunn Award if we will give \$500 to the Scholarship Committee?

MR. DAVIS: In other words, I will give \$500 to the Scholarship Committee if you will create the Bobby Gunn Scholarship from our savings account interest.

MR. MELHART: In future years?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

MR. WHITE: That would be an annual thing for the Board?

MR. DAVIS: Not for the Board -- it would be an award.

MR. CROWL: How would we accumulate the money each year, the same way?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

When we leave here, our banking or checking account will be at its peak immediately after this convention and immediately after the first of the year.

It is going to be here from now until June, when the cash is starting to go out until December, at which time we bring in the dues and then it goes back up again. We work out of this excess until June, when we go back down.

Therefore, we have two ninety-day periods in which you can take this, if it is \$10,000, out of your regular checking account and use it and get a greater return than what we are getting from it right now.

MR. WHITE: I believe you just said that we did purchase bonds?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, out of our savings account.

We have two independent accounts.

MR. WHITE: We are just taking money from the Board and not NATA?

MR. LANE: You want to take the \$10,000 from the checking account, is that correct?

MR. DAVIS: Correct.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Basically what we are doing is establishing another \$500 scholarship and the money is coming from the NATA. Some of it comes from Cramers, Newell, and some will come from Cody and some other people.

MR. DAVIS: We have been drawing interest and it keeps building.

MR. LEE: We are doing it now anyway but not putting it into the scholarship fund?

MR. DAVIS: That is right. We are trying to make it grow and the more you get in it, the more interest you are going to get back.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Right now we give \$1,000 a year to the scholarship fund for two \$500 scholarships. The proposal now is that we give \$1,500 for three \$500 scholarships, is that right?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is what it would be from now on and the third scholarship would be named the Robert Gunn Scholarship Award.

MR. CROWL: My only concern is the question of whether we could pass the Professional Education Committee because of money and now we are putting out more money into a scholarship. I am not against it.

MR. DAVIS: However, before we were talking



about budgets and we were not talking about savings accounts.

MR. CROWL: Also, I agree with Bob on this -- why should not we be doing this all the time, especially if we can earn that extra interest, whether we have a scholarship or not.

MR. DAVIS: It has been there drawing interest at a lower rate and when you start purchasing Treasury Bonds, then you take a little bit more of a gamble. That is all.

MR. CROWL: We are not purchasing Treasury Bonds right now?

MR. DAVIS: We just did.

MR. WHITE: We should be doing that all the time.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: It is a hassle getting the bonds bought and everything.

MR. DAVIS: You have to make your purchase at the right time.

MR. LANE: How do you do the buying?

MR. DAVIS: I do, in the name of the NATA, and that has our exemption number on it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: In a way, this is a method to honor Bobby with a \$500 scholarship given in his name to somebody.

MR. LANE: Do you think we can afford this?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I am sure we can.

You know, I gather there is a feeling of reluctance of the Board for \$500.

MR. CROWL: Let me say that my own feeling

of concern is that there are a few people in my District that were not too happy with me. I spent \$50 without checking with the District.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Is there more discussion in relation to the scholarship that has been proposed?

MR. DAVIS: I would propose it to you and that I will, over a one-year period, donate, personally, \$500. I will donate \$500 personally to the Grants and Scholarship Committee provided you will do the other.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I misunderstood. In other words, if you don't want to do the other, then he does not want to donate \$500.

MR. LEE: That puts a different light on it. The reason you are doing it is so that it becomes perpetual?

Why are you doing it to begin with, after you have asked us to do the other things? What is the idea there -- to build up an amount of money immediately in the thing?

MR. DAVIS: I am not asking for these funds to come from the Grants and Scholarship Committee. Pinky has tried to make money over there to do the very same thing. He is trying to build up an account so that eventually from this account he can draw interest and we will be able to have more scholarships.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Would this first year scholarship be worth a thousand dollars next year or five hundred dollars?

MR. DAVIS: Five hundred dollars.

MR. LEE: Why don't we go for the purchase of two bonds to help them out?

MR. WHITE: You are talking about Board money and not anything special. You are not creating any new



money. There is no new money being created there. If we have not been doing this before, we should start doing it, whether we put it into a fund or not. We have \$10,000 in a savings account and it should be in Government Bonds, Government Certificates, which will go for between 8.5 percent and 9 percent. I believe they vary about three-quarters of a percent. You are never going to lose on them. They are always available at from 8 to 9 percent.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Here is my question. The first year scholarship is going to be worth \$500.

MR. DAVIS: It depends on what you want to do.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: However, you have offered to give us \$500.

MR. DAVIS: Not for the scholarship.

MR. SHERIDAN: He is going to match what comes from the Grants and Scholarship Committee. He is matching what we are going to do.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Do you understand Pinky's fund? Here is what it is. The Grants and Scholarship Committee, Pinky, is trying to raise a hundred thousand dollars or more and use that money, the interest from that money, to give scholarships to students. Do you all understand that? Further, Otho is going to put \$500 to that, if we set up a \$500 scholarship in Bobby Gunn's name.

Therefore, it is now up to you to decide. We are spending \$1,000 a year. Should we spend \$1,500?

The first question is -- can we afford to spend \$1,500 rather than \$1,000 and I believe we had a "yes" answer there.

MR. DAVIS: I said I thought so when I mentioned it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Also Eddie Lane asks whether we can afford more than a thousand dollars?

MR. WHITE: Will it be done annually?

PRESIDENT GUNN: Yes, I would say so. This has been a policy. If we are going to give a scholarship, it is going to be done on an annual basis.

MR. WHITE: At least it should be reviewed annually.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: If the budget gets tight, we will have it reviewed before that.

MR. LEWELLYN: I take the position that Bobby being our first President, he should be recognized somewhat, not necessarily him, although I think the position of the presidency should be recognized.

I was impressed with the students last night that received the scholarships and if this money is going to those types of people, I think it is \$500 well spent. That is the way I feel about it.

MR. WHITE: Can it then be a President's Award?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: We already have that.

MR. WHITE: In a few years we are going to have several past presidents.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, we already have the President's Challenge Award, which is a heck of a thing.

First, let's settle on the figure and then vote on it because we have to make some decision. The first proposal is for \$500. Now, Eddie said that maybe it should be more.

MR. LANE: If we can afford more and also get the money the way Otho described.



I say this because in relation to District 6, Bobby means a lot to us. He is a charter member, he ran the gauntlet from student trainer at Rice Institute to first President of the NATA and we have already given \$500 scholarships to honor other people.

My own personal opinion is, and I think this would also be the opinion of District 6, is that I think Bobby is worth more than \$500, if we can get it.

MR. FLENTJE: I would like to make a motion we establish a \$500 scholarship to be reviewed periodically and then if we have the money at a later date, it would be increased.

MR. DAVIS: I would rather go the other way -- establish it greater and then you can decrease it, for the first year anyway.

MR. LANE: They are going to be reviewed annually anyway.

MR. FLENTJE: What do you have in mind, Otho?

MR. DAVIS: I agree with Eddie. He is talking about a thousand dollars.

MR. FLENTJE: Can we come up with that?

MR. DAVIS: You can come up with it one way or the other.

MR. LEE: We should have done that with the two other \$500 things.

MR. LANE: It is a shame that we started with the Eddie Wojecki Scholarship Award when we did. I think the timing was poor. What a great combination this would be -- the Bob Gunn and Eddie Wojecki President's Annual Scholarship Award.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: As I understand it, are

you suggesting we change the name of the award?

MR. LANE: I am not saying that.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Let me go around the table and get a feeling for the establishment of this scholarship. What is your feeling?

MR. JORDAN: I am for it.

MR. SHERIDAN: Yes.

MR. LEWELLYN: Yes.

MR. WHITE: Yes.

MR. FLENTJE: Yes.

MR. LEE: Yes.

MR. CROWL: Yes.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. MELHART: Yes.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: So it looks like we are all in favor of the scholarship. Now, do we wish to decide on the money amount? Do we need a motion on the first portion of this? Perhaps we can do it all at one time. I am trying to get you together and get an opinion and get something done.

MR. FLENTJE: If we could afford a thousand dollars, would it be one, one-thousand dollar award or two five-hundred-dollar awards?

MR. DAVIS: One thousand dollar award.

MR. WHITE: I would like to make it that it involve the interest gained on a \$10,000 Government Certificate for three months.



MR. DAVIS: That will vary. Are you going to put a maximum or minimum on it?

MR. WHITE: It would be interest gained within ninety days on a \$10,000 Government Certificate.

MR. SMITH: Perhaps you should leave the \$10,000 off, on Government Certificates and he will come up with the amount to earn the scholarship.

MR. DAVIS: The only thing I want is to come up with a thousand dollars through the savings account interest program.

MR. WHITE: That isn't what I mean. In other words, take whatever you have in the budget, put it in Government Certificates for ninety days and if we can make \$600, that's great and, if that is the case, then that is what we have. That is what I am trying to say.

MR. SHERIDAN: I feel this should be supported as a \$500 scholarship grant and that we renew it next year and see how we go. You know, we have been sitting here for the last couple of days and talking about the budgets of the various committees and cutting down here and there and now all of a sudden we are going to spend a thousand dollars. I think we ought to give \$500 and then, if we have it next year, increase it to a thousand dollars rather than go to a thousand dollars and have to cut it back to five hundred the following year.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I would rather go up than down.

MR. SHERIDAN: If it is renewed next year and we have been successful, then we can increase it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: What are the feelings in relation to the amount of money?

MR. MELHART: Five hundred dollars.

MR. SMITH: When you start one of these things

off, you really should not desire to come down.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: I don't think we will have to. It should be so worded that you are going to have an indication that you plan to go along with the thing. I don't think we will have to go down.

MR. CROWL: I feel we ought to start with the \$500. That was Otho's original proposal and so let's go with that and we can always renew it and push it up.

MR. FLENTJE: I think that Otho knows more about our financial status than we probably do and if he says we can do it on a thousand dollar basis, then I think we should go for a thousand dollars. We don't have to say it is going to be a thousand dollars every year. If we don't make it, then we change it. However, if we can get a thousand dollars, then we award that amount of money.

MR. WHITE: I would go with the \$500.

MR. LEWELLYN: The \$500.

MR. JORDAN: I kind of concur with Bill. If Otho says we can get a thousand dollars without having to go down, then I am in favor of a thousand dollars.

MR. SMITH: Are all of these other awards spelled out as to exact amount?

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Yes.

MR. CROWL: Bill said that Otho knows more about our finances and, also, Otho is the one who told you we could not afford a Professional Education budget.

MR. DAVIS: Not out of operating funds.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Not out of operating finances.

MR. CROWL: However, I believe when they



were originally putting forth their budget request, he said that was too much. At least that was the way I understood it.

MR. DAVIS: I merely said we could not afford it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: You can afford some things and others you cannot afford.

MR. DAVIS: If you do not want to do this, forget it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: Well, it seems to be that the consensus is for a thousand dollars.

Now, I don't want to vote on this and have it denied. Do you understand what I mean. I don't want to propose it and then have it denied -- in other words, propose one thousand dollars, have it denied and then go to five hundred dollars. I merely want to have it proposed and passed the first time.

I would like a motion for a scholarship to be set up through the Grants and Scholarship Committee in the name of Robert Gunn, First President of the NATA.

Let's have a motion.

MR. LANE: I will make a motion that a scholarship be set up to honor the first President of the NATA, Robert Gunn.

MR. SHERIDAN: I will second the motion.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: All in favor of the motion indicate by saying "aye"; opposed? It is unanimously carried.

Now, would someone like to make a motion that we set a dollar figure in relation to the scholarship?

MR. FLENTJE: How about a figure of \$750? How would everybody feel on that?

MR. SHERIDAN: I make a motion that the first scholarship be in the amount of \$500.

MR. FLENTJE: Second the motion.

MR. LEE: What happens if Otho makes more?

MR. SHERIDAN: Then next year we can increase it.

PRESIDENT GEORGE: That is good.

MR. SHERIDAN: I would like to see it be \$50,000.

MR. DAVIS: I think you can get a thousand dollars one way or the other, if nothing else, from the contributors if it gets right down to the nitty-gritty aspects of it.

MR. FLENTJE: How much do you think you can get from the bonds?

MR. DAVIS: I don't know definitely because I don't know what we are getting in connection with this Convention yet.

MR. FLENTJE: I would rather go with \$500 now than come up short on the thousand dollars and have to go to an exhibitor or someone like that and even feel like we owe him anything. Many of them, I grant you, will not but it is the whole idea of the thing.

MR. SHERIDAN: I have made a motion that this be a \$500 scholarship in the name of Bobby Gunn. I feel it is a real good idea and that next year we can make it a thousand dollars.

MR. CROWL: Would it be too late in relation to the mechanics of this thing to wait until January to